

1 CoSTR

2 **2025 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Consensus on Science With**  
3 **Treatment Recommendations**

4 Executive Summary

5  
6 **Authors:** Katherine M. Berg (Sr Editor), Janet E. Bray (Chair BLS), Therese Djarv  
7 (Chair FA), Ian Drennan (Chair ALS), Robert Grief (Chair EIT), Helen Liley (Chair NLS),  
8 Barney Scholefield (Chair PLS), Diane Atkins (SAC), Jestin Carlson (SAC), Allan de Caen  
9 (SAC), Eric Lavonas (SAC), Andrew Lockey (SAC), William Montgomery (SAC), Laurie  
10 Morrison (SAC), Theresa Olasveengen (SAC), Jack Rabi (SAC), Claudio Sandroni (SAC),  
11 Georg Schmoelzer (SAC), Nici Singletary (SAC), Michelle Welsford (SAC), Joyce Yeung  
12 (SAC), Jack Billi (ILCOR Officer), Farhan Bhanji (ILCOR Officer), Vinay Nadkarni  
13 (ILCOR Officer), Robert Neumar (ILCOR Officer), Gavin Perkins (ILCOR Officer), Jeanette  
14 Previdi (AHA), Raffi Escalante, Sungphil Chun, Tony Scott, David Stanton, Siddha SC  
15 Chakra Rao, Federico Semeraro, Amber Hoover, Peter Morley (Co-Sr. Author), Jerry Nolan  
16 (Co-Sr. Author)

17 **Key words:** International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2           The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) was formed in 1992  
3 with the goal of creating global consensus on evidence-based emergency cardiovascular care,  
4 cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), and first aid, providing a resource for regional councils  
5 crafting clinical guidelines. ILCOR currently includes representatives from the American  
6 Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of  
7 Canada, the Australia and New Zealand Committee on Resuscitation, the Resuscitation  
8 Council of Southern Africa, the InterAmerican Heart Foundation, the Resuscitation Council  
9 of Asia, and the Indian Resuscitation Council Federation, also benefitting from a  
10 collaboration with the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies.  
11 ILCOR's vision is to save more lives globally through resuscitation, and the ILCOR mission  
12 is to promote, disseminate, and advocate international implementation of evidence-informed  
13 resuscitation and first aid, using transparent evaluation and consensus summary of scientific  
14 data.

15           Resuscitation includes all responses necessary to treat sudden life-threatening events  
16 affecting the cardiovascular and respiratory systems, with a focus on sudden cardiac arrest.  
17 For newborns, there is also a focus on prevention of cardiac arrest by promoting initial lung  
18 aeration and other critical adaptations to extrauterine life. First aid is also included as it  
19 encompasses a wide range of treatment, including potentially lifesaving interventions that can  
20 be delivered by lay rescuers.

21           ILCOR work is divided into 6 task forces: Basic Life Support (BLS); Advanced Life  
22 Support (ALS); Pediatric Life Support (PLS); Neonatal Life Support (NLS); Education,  
23 Implementation, and Teams (EIT); and First Aid. This *2025 International Liaison Committee  
24 on Resuscitation Consensus on Science With Treatment Recommendations (CoSTR)* includes  
25 separate publications from each of the 6 task forces, this Executive Summary, and a  
26 publication detailing the evidence evaluation process and management of potential conflicts

1 of interest. The task force papers detail work completed in the past year. They also  
2 summarize topics reviewed since 2020 to provide a comprehensive 5-year update. This  
3 Executive Summary summarizes select topics each task force wanted to highlight as being of  
4 particular interest. Not all relevant references are cited here, although studies are cited when  
5 discussed individually; refer to each task force publication in this issue for details of each of  
6 the reviews and task force deliberations and citations for all individual studies included in the  
7 reviews. The task force papers provide additional information on these and many other  
8 important topics. Because the task force papers are also summaries of a large body of work  
9 and must be concise, readers are directed to the full online versions and to published  
10 systematic reviews (SysRevs) when available.

## 11 **Selected Highlights Since 2020**

### 12 ***Basic Life Support***

13 A new recommendation suggests that CPR techniques do not need to be modified in  
14 obese people. Dispatcher-assisted automated external defibrillator (AED) retrieval and use is  
15 supported, while possible negative consequences are also discussed. The avoidance of locks,  
16 or the use of clear instructions for unlocking cabinets, for public access AEDs is encouraged.  
17 Prior recommendations on topics including use of a firm surface for CPR, resuscitation of a  
18 drowning person, and pad size and placement for defibrillation have been updated. Head-up  
19 CPR continues to be discouraged except in the context of research. The importance of  
20 providing training in defibrillation of women, including pad placement around breast tissue,  
21 is emphasized, and the task force states that repositioning a bra rather than removing it may  
22 be adequate, as long as defibrillation pads are placed on bare skin.

### 23 ***Advanced Life Support***

24 Recommendations for postcardiac arrest temperature control changed in 2022 a  
25 normothermic target of  $\leq 37.5^{\circ}$  C is now suggested. Treatment with insulin and glucose is  
26 newly suggested for cardiac arrest caused by hyperkalemia, while the evidence for calcium or

1 bicarbonate in cardiac arrest from hyperkalemia is insufficient to support a recommendation.  
2 Several recommendations address prognostication of favorable neurological outcome after  
3 cardiac arrest, adding to existing guidance on the prognostication of poor outcome. Double  
4 sequential external defibrillation or vector change defibrillation are now suggested for  
5 ventricular fibrillation refractory to 3 consecutive shocks. The task force continues to suggest  
6 not routinely using mechanical CPR devices, while acknowledging their utility in specific  
7 situations. Extracorporeal CPR is similarly not suggested for routine use but may be  
8 considered in select patients when conventional CPR is failing, in settings where this can be  
9 implemented. The intravenous route continues to be preferred instead of the intraosseous  
10 route as the initial access attempt during CPR.

### 11 *Pediatric Life Support*

12 The PLS Task Force has added specific diastolic blood pressure targets during CPR  
13 for infants <1 year and for children 1 to 18 years with invasive blood pressure monitoring in  
14 place at the time of cardiac arrest. A good practice statement states that either a compression-  
15 first or ventilation-first approach for starting CPR in children is reasonable, with another  
16 good practice statement instructing rescuers to start CPR for any unresponsive child not  
17 breathing and without signs of life, without attempting a pulse check first. Several new  
18 recommendations for the use of clinical exam, biomarkers, imaging, and  
19 electroencephalography were created in 2023, with new recommendations for prediction of  
20 poor neurological outcome updated in 2025.

### 21 *Neonatal Life Support*

22 The NLS Task Force provides updated recommendations for cord management for  
23 preterm and term infants that support deferring cord clamping for at least 60 seconds in  
24 vigorous infants of all gestational ages, and for all infants  $\geq 28$  weeks, milking of the intact  
25 umbilical cord in circumstances where deferred cord clamping is precluded. Support for  
26 supraglottic airway devices as an alternative to face mask ventilation or tracheal intubation

1 continues, and they are now also suggested during chest compressions, in a good practice  
2 statement. While the recommendation continues to be that preterm infants have resuscitation  
3 initiated with a fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO<sub>2</sub>) of  $\geq 0.3$  and term infants with 0.21, the  
4 recommendation against starting resuscitation with an FIO<sub>2</sub> of 1.0 for term and late preterm  
5 infants has been withdrawn.

### 6 ***Education, Implementation, and Teams***

7 The EIT Task Force now suggests that prehospital critical care teams attend out-of-  
8 hospital cardiac arrest events where emergency medical services (EMS) systems have  
9 sufficient resources. There is sufficient evidence that patient outcomes improve when a team  
10 member has attended an accredited CPR course. Resuscitation training should be adapted to  
11 the needs of special populations, and family presence during CPR helps cosurvivors cope  
12 with the impact of the situation, but resuscitation teams and families need proper care when  
13 families are present at these events. The use of feedback devices during training is strongly  
14 recommended. Debriefing after clinical resuscitation and CPR coaching are helpful  
15 interventions. In situ CPR training and gamified learning improves learning. Augmented  
16 reality might support CPR training, but no evidence was found for virtual reality applications.  
17 No new evidence was found on clinical decision rules to facilitate in-hospital decisions on  
18 do-not-attempt resuscitation orders.

### 19 ***First Aid***

20 The First Aid Task Force now suggests manual uterine massage immediately after  
21 birth in the first aid setting to prevent postpartum hemorrhage. For first aid providers trained  
22 in the use of supplemental oxygen, titration of oxygen to a peripheral blood oxygen saturation  
23 of 88% to 92% is suggested for patients who report a history of chronic obstructive  
24 pulmonary disease. For people assisting someone who is choking, the task force recommends  
25 an escalating strategy of encouraging cough and then using back slaps, and using abdominal  
26 thrusts if back slaps are ineffective. To improve the chances of successful replantation of an

1 amputated or avulsed body part, the task force recommends wrapping the body part in a  
2 moist cloth and plastic bag and then cooling it.

### 3 **BASIC LIFE SUPPORT**

#### 4 **Head-Up CPR**

5         Although head-up CPR (slight elevation of the head and torso of the patient while  
6 performing CPR) is not in widespread use, it has garnered significant attention over the past  
7 several years and is used by some EMS systems for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA).  
8 The BLS Task Force conducted a SysRev of this topic in 2021, at which point a single pre-  
9 post study was identified.<sup>1</sup> In that study, outcomes when supine CPR—with a mechanical  
10 CPR device—was standard practice were compared with outcomes after a new bundle was  
11 implemented. This bundle included (1) applied oxygen but deferred positive-pressure  
12 ventilation for several minutes, (2) a pit crew approach for rapid mechanical CPR device  
13 placement, and (3) elevation of the patient’s head and torso by approximately 20°. While that  
14 study reported increased event survival with the head-up CPR bundle, there was no  
15 improvement in favorable neurological outcome at hospital discharge, and the task force  
16 concluded that this practice should be used only in the context of research, including clinical  
17 trials. In the 2025 SysRev, 2 more studies were identified, both of which compared patients in  
18 a registry of those receiving head-up CPR with patients from past clinical trials in which  
19 supine CPR was used.<sup>2,3</sup> One of these trials found no significant difference in return of  
20 spontaneous circulation (ROSC), survival, or neurological outcome.<sup>2</sup> The other found no  
21 improvement in ROSC, but more survival and favorable neurological outcome at hospital  
22 discharge with the head-up CPR bundle.<sup>3</sup> The head-up CPR intervention uses an automated  
23 device that gradually elevates the patient’s head and torso during CPR. Based on the  
24 inconsistent findings and the concern about bias in the available studies (pre-post studies, in  
25 some cases with the supine CPR group having their event several years before), the

1 recommendation continues to be that this intervention be used only in the context of research  
2 until its effects are clarified.

### 3 **Optimization of Dispatcher-Assisted Recognition, CPR, and Automated External** 4 **Defibrillator Retrieval and Use**

5         Dispatchers play a vital role in resuscitation for OHCA, both in helping a caller  
6 recognize that someone is in cardiac arrest and in coaching them to start CPR. ILCOR has not  
7 previously reviewed how to optimize these key dispatcher roles, and this prompted the BLS  
8 Task Force to undertake Scoping reviews (ScopRevs) on the topics of dispatcher-assisted  
9 recognition of cardiac arrest, dispatcher-assisted CPR, and dispatcher-assisted AED retrieval  
10 and use. The ScopRevs were initially done for the 2024 CoSTR summary<sup>4,5</sup> and were updated  
11 with evidence updates (EvUps) for 2025.

#### 12 **Dispatcher-Assisted Recognition of Cardiac Arrest**

13         Evidence consists mostly of observational studies that document the percentage of  
14 cardiac arrest cases that are recognized as such by dispatchers, and what factors are  
15 associated with successful recognition. Determining whether a patient is breathing normally,  
16 with agonal breathing being a key indicator of the likely presence of cardiac arrest, continues  
17 to be a key challenge for dispatchers. Various strategies to determine if someone is breathing  
18 normally have been evaluated (although not in randomized trials); thus far, none appear more  
19 effective than the often-used 2-question strategy (ie, “Is the person conscious?” and “Are they  
20 breathing normally?”).<sup>6</sup>

#### 21 **Dispatcher-Assisted CPR**

22         Dispatcher-assisted CPR instructions are currently recommended, but the most  
23 effective way to do this is not known. Multiple strategies have been investigated to optimize  
24 dispatcher-assisted CPR, but several of these (eg, metronome use, use of prerecorded  
25 instructions, inclusion of instructions to undress the patient) have too few published studies to  
26 support a recommendation. Studies focusing on simplifying the language used to coach CPR

1 (eg, “Push as hard as you can”) and those using video calls to enable direct feedback on CPR  
2 performance found a suggestion of improvement in hand positioning and compression depth  
3 and rate.<sup>7,8</sup>

#### 4 **Dispatcher-Assisted AED Retrieval and Use**

5 Dispatcher-assisted AED retrieval and use have become more common, but the  
6 evidence consists mostly of observational and simulation studies. Findings are somewhat  
7 inconsistent, with some reporting that rescuers are more likely to retrieve and use an AED if  
8 dispatchers include instructions on retrieval or that dispatcher instructions helped rescuers use  
9 AEDs properly, while other studies found that dispatcher instructions on AED use sometimes  
10 confused rescuers and potentially delayed use. The task force decided to make good practice  
11 statements on this topic in 2024 because of the need for guidance around this increasingly  
12 common practice. The task force states that dispatchers should ask if an AED is present in the  
13 immediate vicinity; if not, and there is more than 1 rescuer, they should offer instruction on  
14 how to find the nearest one. They should also provide instructions on AED use once it is  
15 present.<sup>9</sup>

#### 16 **Drone AED Delivery**

17 The use of drones to deliver AEDs was first evaluated in a ScopRev<sup>10,11</sup> for the 2023  
18 CoSTR summary,<sup>12,13</sup> and an EvUp was done for 2025. Several simulation studies and real-  
19 life feasibility studies have compared dispatched drones with a traditional EMS response. A  
20 prospective observational study included in the 2025 EvUp found that in the minority of 211  
21 suspected OHCA cases in which a drone was dispatched at the same time as the traditional  
22 EMS response, a drone was successfully delivered 81% of the time, and the AED arrived  
23 earlier than EMS (by an average of 3 minutes) 67% of the time.<sup>14</sup> These findings were similar  
24 to a much smaller pilot study identified in the 2023 ScopRev.<sup>15</sup> The BLS Task Force  
25 concluded that there continues to be too little data to support a SysRev or good practice  
26 statement.



## 1 **AED Accessibility: Locked Cabinets**

2           The BLS Task Force reviewed the effect of locking cabinets that hold public access  
3 AEDs to ascertain if this delayed AED use in cases of OHCA, and to evaluate the actual  
4 occurrence of AED theft or damage.<sup>16</sup> Limited observational studies report that theft rates are  
5 low (<2%) and do not differ significantly between locked and unlocked cabinets. Simulation  
6 studies suggest that retrieval of an AED takes longer when a cabinet is locked. The BLS Task  
7 Force, therefore, made a good practice statement suggesting these cabinets not be locked. If  
8 they are locked, then instructions to unlock them must be clearly visible. They also  
9 emphasized that EMS should endeavor to return AEDs to the owner organization after use.<sup>17</sup>

## 10 **Removal of Bra Before Defibrillation**

11           The task force conducted a ScopRev on this topic for 2025 because bra removal for  
12 defibrillation has not been reviewed previously and there is a lack of clarity on best  
13 practice.<sup>18</sup> Some guidelines recommend bra removal for pad placement and defibrillation,<sup>19</sup>  
14 but this is not universal. Studies have also reported that women are less likely to receive CPR  
15 and defibrillation, and there is concern that reluctance to expose the female chest may be part  
16 of the reason.<sup>20,21</sup> The ScopRev identified very limited evidence from a single animal study  
17 and 2 simulation studies. No reports of harm to patient, rescuer, or defibrillator from  
18 defibrillation use with a bra in place were found, and the animal study using defibrillation  
19 with underwire in direct contact did not find any harm (abstract only).<sup>22</sup> A simulation study  
20 found that men were less likely to remove all clothing from female manikins than women  
21 were.<sup>23</sup> The task force issued good practice statements acknowledging that we don't know if  
22 it is better to remove the bra before defibrillation but that pads should be adhered to bare  
23 skin, and this can often be done with repositioning a bra rather than removing it. They also  
24 emphasized that CPR and defibrillation training should include female manikins and should  
25 address the topic of bra repositioning or removal.

## 1 **Effectiveness of Ultraportable/Pocket AEDs**

2           Ultraportable AEDs have become available, but there is a lack of evidence on how  
3 they perform in comparison with standard AEDs. A ScopRev of this new topic was initially  
4 included in the 2024 CoSTR summary.<sup>4,5</sup> The ScopRev identified no studies evaluating the  
5 effectiveness of these ultraportable devices, and the task force concluded that there is an  
6 urgent need for research assessing their effectiveness because they are already being  
7 marketed and sold to the public.<sup>24,25</sup>

## 8 **CPR in Obese People**

9           Obesity is increasing in prevalence globally, and whether the effects of obesity on  
10 chest wall compliance and impedance necessitate alterations in standard CPR protocols has  
11 not been reviewed. A ScopRev on this topic was, therefore, undertaken by the BLS Task  
12 Force for 2025, as a nodal review with involvement of the ALS, PLS, and EIT Task Forces.<sup>26</sup>  
13 Observational studies in adults were inconsistent in finding any relationship between obesity  
14 and cardiac arrest outcomes. Only 2 pediatric studies were found, and these both reported  
15 worse outcomes in children with obesity compared with normal-weight children. There were  
16 no studies investigating variations in CPR protocols in obese patients or differences in  
17 rescuer outcomes such as injuries related to performance of resuscitation. The limited data,  
18 with significant heterogeneity in definitions of obesity and in results, led the task force to  
19 conclude that there is currently no reason to deviate from standard CPR protocols when  
20 resuscitating obese patients.<sup>27</sup>

## 21 **ADVANCED LIFE SUPPORT**

### 22 **Mechanical CPR**

23           Mechanical CPR devices have been available for many years. This topic was last  
24 reviewed by ILCOR in 2015, at which time use of mechanical CPR was suggested only in  
25 situations in which manual CPR was not feasible or compromised rescuer safety (eg, in a  
26 procedural setting, prolonged resuscitation, for CPR during transport).<sup>28,29</sup> Use of mechanical

1 CPR devices increased during the COVID-19 pandemic and has remained more common  
2 than in prepandemic practice. This updated SysRev focused on randomized controlled trials  
3 (RCTs) only and identified 5 new trials since the 2015 review.<sup>30-34</sup> Unfortunately, the  
4 heterogeneity of available trials (in type of device, inclusion criteria, timing of mechanical  
5 CPR initiation, and variability in co-interventions) made meta-analyses inadvisable.  
6 However, most studies, including all large trials, have found no difference in outcomes  
7 between mechanical and manual CPR.<sup>35</sup> Potential delays in initial defibrillation with  
8 mechanical CPR use can be avoided by delaying setup of the device until after the first  
9 rhythm assessment and shock, if indicated. While these devices continue to be useful and  
10 reasonable alternatives for situations in which manual CPR is difficult or unsafe to continue,  
11 the ALS Task Force highlights that there is no evidence that mechanical CPR is superior to  
12 manual CPR.

### 13 **Double Sequential External Defibrillation**

14 Double sequential external defibrillation (DSED) was investigated in an RCT<sup>36</sup>  
15 published in 2022, and an updated SysRev was completed for the 2023 CoSTR summary.<sup>12,13</sup>  
16 In the cluster RCT, which included OHCA patients who remained in ventricular fibrillation  
17 after 3 consecutive shocks, more patients survived to hospital discharge in the DSED group  
18 compared with the standard defibrillation group (27.4% versus 11.2%; adjusted relative  
19 risk, 2.21; 95% CI, 1.26, 3.88). Survival with favorable neurological outcome and ROSC  
20 were also higher in the DSED group. A third group of patients were randomized to vector  
21 change defibrillation, in which the pads were changed from the anterolateral orientation to an  
22 anteroposterior orientation after 3 consecutive shocks. This group also had better survival to  
23 hospital discharge, but ROSC and survival with favorable neurological outcome were not  
24 significantly better compared with the standard defibrillation group.

25 This topic generated considerable discussion by the ALS Task Force. The trial  
26 had significant limitations and, because no comparison was done between DSED and

1 vector change, no conclusions about one approach being superior to the other could be  
2 made. Either a DSED or a vector change strategy were suggested as reasonable  
3 considerations for refractory ventricular fibrillation arrest.<sup>37</sup>

#### 4 **Intravenous Versus Intraosseous Route for Initial Access Attempt**

5         Intraosseous access devices have become popular recently and are used in both the  
6 out-of-hospital and in-hospital settings. They are promoted for their ability to help a rescuer  
7 gain vascular access quickly in emergencies such as cardiac arrest. The ALS Task Force  
8 updated the ILCOR SysRev on this topic in response to publication of 3 RCTs comparing  
9 initial intravenous (IV) attempts with initial intraosseous attempts for OHCA.<sup>38-40</sup> Meta-  
10 analysis of the 3 trials demonstrated no difference in survival or survival with favorable  
11 neurological outcome or ROSC at any time. The odds of sustained ROSC, an outcome  
12 reported in 2 of the RCTs, were slightly lower in the group randomized to initial intraosseous  
13 access (odds ratio, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.80–0.99).<sup>41</sup> Treatment recommendations continue to  
14 support IV as the initial route for access, with intraosseous as an alternative if IV access  
15 cannot be obtained quickly. Task force discussions included concerns about the widespread  
16 use of these devices, with the significantly higher cost compared with IVs and no evidence  
17 for benefit.

#### 18 **Treatment of Hyperkalemia**

19         Standard treatment of life-threatening arrhythmias in the setting of hyperkalemia  
20 often involves administration of calcium, beta agonists, and high-dose insulin therapy, but the  
21 ALS and PLS Task Forces questioned whether these treatments were evidence based and  
22 completed a SysRev of studies assessing the effect of different treatments to lower potassium  
23 values acutely.<sup>42,43</sup> Interventions studied in this nodal review included salbutamol, insulin and  
24 glucose, insulin plus salbutamol, calcium, and sodium bicarbonate. Evidence identified was  
25 limited, all studies were small, and all but one were in non–cardiac arrest patients.  
26 Salbutamol and insulin plus glucose both appeared to lower potassium values. In a study of

1 non–cardiac arrest patients, calcium did not affect electrocardiogram changes, and in a  
2 retrospective study of patients with cardiac arrest and hyperkalemia, absolute mortality was  
3 higher in the group receiving calcium. The task force discussed the important lack of data in  
4 the cardiac arrest population, and the lack of any evidence to support calcium for cardiac  
5 arrest in the setting of hyperkalemia, although this is recommended in some guidelines.<sup>19</sup>  
6 Recognizing the very low certainty of the evidence, the task force suggests insulin and  
7 glucose, salbutamol (inhaled or IV) or the combination of these therapies for hyperkalemia  
8 without cardiac arrest, and insulin and glucose for hyperkalemia with cardiac arrest. The  
9 evidence for calcium was considered insufficient to support a recommendation for or against.  
10 It is suggested that bicarbonate not be given in non–cardiac arrest patients with hyperkalemia,  
11 and there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against in cardiac arrest.

## 12 **Mechanical Circulatory Support After ROSC**

13 This topic was prioritized because the task force was aware of randomized trials of  
14 mechanical circulatory support (MCS) for cardiogenic shock, some of which included large  
15 subgroups of patients with post–cardiac arrest cardiogenic shock. Fourteen trials reported  
16 survival outcomes and found no benefit from MCS for all cardiogenic shock patients  
17 included.<sup>44–57</sup> A subgroup of post–cardiac arrest patients from 6 of the included  
18 trials<sup>46,48,49,52,55,56</sup> similarly found no difference in 30-day survival with the use of MCS  
19 devices compared with standard care. One RCT included only patients with cardiogenic  
20 shock after in-hospital cardiac arrest and, again, found no difference in survival with the use  
21 of MCS.<sup>49</sup> Trials also found no difference in favorable neurological outcome. Post–cardiac  
22 arrest subgroup data were not available for neurological outcome. The lack of benefit led to a  
23 treatment recommendation suggesting that MCS not be used routinely for post–cardiac arrest  
24 cardiogenic shock, but the task force acknowledged that there may be groups of patients who  
25 benefit from MCS. Limited subgroup data suggest those with a Glasgow Coma Scale score  
26 >8 at hospital arrival with infarct-related cardiogenic shock,<sup>49</sup> patients with ST-segment

1 myocardial infarction without prior resuscitation before arrival of EMS, and those with a  
2 short duration of cardiac arrest (<10 minutes) could be reasonable candidates.<sup>58</sup>

### 3 **PEDIATRIC LIFE SUPPORT**

#### 4 **Prediction of Survival With Poor Neurological Outcome After Return of Circulation** 5 **Following Pediatric Cardiac Arrest**

6         The task force conducted a 2-part SysRev on prognostication of neurological outcome  
7 in children after cardiac arrest. Prognostication of good outcome was included in the 2023  
8 CoSTR summary,<sup>12,13</sup> and prognostication of poor outcome was included for 2025. For poor  
9 outcome, the false-positive rate was required to be <1% (corresponding to a specificity of  
10 99%) for a test to be considered precise and reliable enough, prioritizing avoiding  
11 discontinuation of life-sustaining therapy in patients who could have had a good outcome.  
12 Tools for prognostication were broken down into categories that were reviewed separately,  
13 including biomarkers, clinical exam, neuroimaging, and electrophysiology testing. In all  
14 categories, the importance of using multiple tests in combination when prognosticating  
15 neurological outcome was emphasized.

#### 16 ***Biomarkers***

17         Limited evidence was from studies not primarily designed for testing biomarkers for  
18 prognostication. Lactate was not found to be a reliable biomarker for poor outcome, so the  
19 task force suggested not using it for this purpose, and the evidence for other biomarkers was  
20 insufficient to support a recommendation.

#### 21 ***Clinical Exam***

22         Absence of the pupillary light reflex before 24 hours was not a reliable prognostic  
23 test. At 48 and 72 hours after return of circulation, the false-positive rate was <1% but 95%  
24 CIs were wide. Glasgow Coma Scale score, including the total score and the motor score,  
25 was also not a reliable predictor of outcome. The task force suggests not using pupillary light

1 response or Glasgow Coma Scale score at 24 hours, but that lack of pupillary light response  
2 at 48 to 72 hours may be considered as part of multimodal prognostication of poor outcome.

### 3 ***Electroencephalogram***

4 Evidence for electroencephalogram is limited by the few studies, small sample sizes,  
5 and heterogeneity across studies, including in timing and methods of interpretation of  
6 electroencephalograms. Blinding was also rarely present. The presence of seizures on  
7 electroencephalogram was not a reliable predictor of poor outcome. Absence of normal  
8 background, sleep architecture or sleep spindles, and reactivity were also not reliable. Status  
9 epilepticus, burst suppression, burst attenuation, or generalized periodic epileptiform  
10 discharges between 4 to 72 hours and myoclonic status had much lower false-positive rates  
11 and were considered moderately reliable tests. Somatosensory evoked potential (bilaterally  
12 absent N20 waves) had a false-positive rate of 0%, but there was only 1 small study. The task  
13 force suggested that status epilepticus or a background pattern of burst suppression, burst  
14 attenuation, or generalized periodic epileptiform discharges could be useful as one part of  
15 multimodal prognostication.

### 16 **Imaging**

17 Loss of gray-white matter differentiation on a head computed tomography scan at 24  
18 hours and magnetic resonance imaging apparent diffusion coefficient threshold  $<650 \times 10^{-6}$   
19  $\text{mm}^2/\text{s}$  in  $\geq 10\%$  of brain volume (indicating high ischemic burden), at a median of 4 days  
20 after return of circulation, were found to be moderately reliable tests. The task force  
21 suggested that these findings on a computed tomography scan within 24 hours or magnetic  
22 resonance imaging at 72 hours or more after return of circulation could be useful as one  
23 component of multimodal prognostication.

## 1 **Airway, Breathing, Compressions Versus Compressions, Airway, Breathing: Order of** 2 **Ventilation and Compression**

3 Many adult algorithms now begin resuscitation with compression instead of airway  
4 and ventilations. The task force undertook this SysRev as a nodal review with the BLS Task  
5 Force because the merits of starting with ventilations in children were uncertain. This was  
6 last reviewed for the 2019 CoSTR summary.<sup>59,60</sup> Only 5 manikin studies were identified.  
7 Findings suggested that time to chest compressions was shorter with the compressions-  
8 airway-breathing approach, and chest compression fraction was higher. Time to ventilation  
9 was about 6 seconds faster with the airway-breathing-compressions approach in one study.  
10 Indirect evidence from before and after OHCA registry studies in adults suggests that  
11 switching from the airway-breathing-circulation to the circulation-airway-breathing approach  
12 may increase rates of bystander CPR<sup>61</sup> and improved patient outcomes.<sup>61-63</sup> Similar data on  
13 in-hospital cardiac arrest show conflicting evidence for patient outcomes.<sup>64,65</sup> One large  
14 registry study from Japan demonstrated increased bystander CPR rates in children with  
15 bystander-witnessed OHCA after compression-only CPR was introduced.<sup>66</sup> The task force  
16 concluded that there is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation about the optimal  
17 order of resuscitation. Both airway-breathing-compressions and compressions-airway-  
18 breathing approaches are reasonable, and both compressions and ventilations are important  
19 components of pediatric resuscitation.

## 20 **Blood Pressure Monitoring and Targets During Pediatric In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest**

21 When children have intra-arterial catheters in place, invasive hemodynamic data may  
22 provide information about the quality of chest compressions during cardiac arrest.<sup>67</sup> In this  
23 updated SysRev, 5 observational cohort studies were included.<sup>68-72</sup> Three were analyses of the  
24 same cohort (Pediatric Intensive Care Quality of CPR study) but examined different  
25 subpopulations or different outcomes.<sup>69,71,72</sup> Two studies of children with in-hospital cardiac  
26 arrest and arterial lines in place<sup>68,69</sup> found that exposure to a diastolic blood pressure of  $\geq 25$



1 mm Hg for infants <1 year and  $\geq 30$  mm Hg for children  $\geq 1$  year for the first 10 minutes of  
2 CPR was associated with obtaining ROSC, when compared with a lower diastolic blood  
3 pressure. Using the same cutoffs, a single study found that the higher diastolic blood pressure  
4 was associated with hospital survival in children with surgical cardiac disease (n=88) but not  
5 in those with medical cardiac disease (n=24).<sup>72</sup> Systolic blood pressure during CPR was not  
6 found to be associated with outcomes.

7 While evidence is limited and of very low certainty, and arterial lines are used only in  
8 high-resource settings, the task force concluded there was sufficient evidence to issue a weak  
9 recommendation suggesting targeting an intra-arrest diastolic blood pressure of  $\geq 25$  mm Hg  
10 for infants <1 year and  $\geq 30$  mm Hg for children 1 to 18 years with invasive blood pressure  
11 monitoring in place at the time of cardiac arrest.

## 12 **Pulse Check Accuracy in Children During Resuscitation**

13 Guidelines recommend a manual pulse check during rhythm analyses to detect ROSC,  
14 with different anatomical sites for different age groups.<sup>73</sup> With the increasing availability of  
15 ultrasound and arterial lines, the PLS Task Force prioritized this topic and conducted the first  
16 SysRev, expanding on a previous EvUp in 2023.<sup>13</sup> Three studies were identified, including 39  
17 patients and 376 pulse checks.<sup>74-76</sup> Two of these studies assessed clinicians' ability to  
18 accurately palpate a pulse for children with left ventricular assist devices or on extracorporeal  
19 membrane oxygenation but without cardiac arrest. Sensitivity of pulse checks ranged from  
20 76% to 100% in those studies, and specificity 64% to 79%.<sup>74,75</sup> In one of these studies,<sup>75</sup> only  
21 39% (60/153) of participants decided on the presence of a pulse within 10 seconds, and  
22 determining whether a pulse was present took a median of 18 seconds. The third study was a  
23 series of cases in which an ultrasound was used during pulse checks, and duration of pulse  
24 checks was not reported.<sup>76</sup> The task force reinforced a prior recommendation stating that  
25 pulse checks are not reliable. Based on the lack of evidence supporting it, a prior  
26 recommendation to begin CPR unless a pulse is palpated within 10 seconds was withdrawn

1 and then replaced with a new good practice statement that rescuers should start CPR for any  
2 unresponsive child who is not breathing and does not have signs of life.

### 3 **NEONATAL LIFE SUPPORT**

#### 4 **Umbilical Cord Management**

5         Since 2020, the NLS Task Force has reviewed the evidence for umbilical cord  
6 management for vigorous term and late-preterm infants (SysRev 2021, EvUp 2025),<sup>77-79</sup>  
7 preterm infants (SysRev 2021 and SysRev Adolopment 2024, EvUp 2025),<sup>4,5,77,78,80</sup> and  
8 nonvigorous term and late preterm infants (SysRev 2025). In 2021 and 2024, SysRevs found  
9 that deferred cord clamping (for at least 60 seconds) reduced mortality and transfusion  
10 requirements for preterm infants and reduced later iron deficiency and anemia for late  
11 preterm and term infants. The 2024 SysRev for preterm infants incorporated adolopment to  
12 include the results of a large, comprehensive meta-analysis that used individual patient data,<sup>81</sup>  
13 enabling greater precision of estimates of outcomes than a study-level meta-analysis.  
14 Members of the task force worked with the individual patient data study team to ensure that  
15 the study addressed ILCOR population, intervention, comparator, and outcome questions.  
16 This review also concluded that for infants for whom deferred cord clamping was not feasible  
17 for either infant or maternal reasons, umbilical cord milking was a reasonable option for  
18 improving hematologic outcomes in infants  $\geq 28$  weeks' gestation, though for infants  $< 28$   
19 weeks' gestation, it should not be used because of increased risk of intraventricular  
20 hemorrhage. Umbilical cord milking may reduce the occurrence of hypoxic ischemic  
21 encephalopathy in nonvigorous, late preterm and term infants.

22         Together, these reviews suggest a simplified approach to clinical practice, where  
23 deferred cord clamping  $\geq 60$  seconds is the preferred option for all infants who are vigorous at  
24 birth, to prevent mortality in very preterm infants and to improve hematologic outcomes in  
25 those who are more mature. There remain some cases in which deferred cord clamping is not  
26 feasible, for maternal or infant reasons, including circumstances in which the baby remains

1 nonvigorous despite tactile stimulation. For those who are not vigorous but  $\geq 28$  weeks,  
2 milking the intact umbilical cord is now the suggested option to improve hematologic  
3 outcomes for all and to reduce hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy in late preterm and term  
4 infants. Other methods of umbilical cord management also deserve further research.

### 5 **Supraglottic Airway Devices During Neonatal Resuscitation**

6 For 2025, the NLS Task Force completed a ScopRev on the use of supraglottic  
7 airways during chest compressions. EvUps were done on the topics of supraglottic airways as  
8 an alternative to face-mask ventilation and as an alternative to tracheal intubation. Together,  
9 these reviews support that supraglottic airway devices should be considered as an alternative  
10 to face masks or tracheal tubes for providing positive-pressure ventilation, especially when an  
11 infant's condition is not improving despite face-mask ventilation and where there is nobody  
12 immediately available who can intubate, or where intubation is not successful (low- to very  
13 low–certainty evidence for each comparison). The ScopRev supports that this should include  
14 infants who are receiving chest compressions. Until recently, the devices available have only  
15 been suitable for infants  $\geq 34$  weeks' gestation and ,therefore, they are the only group  
16 represented in clinical trials. However, newer devices may be suitable for smaller infants.

### 17 **Oxygen Concentration for Commencing Resuscitation**

18 Oxygen concentration to be used when commencing resuscitation was reviewed with  
19 a SysRev for preterm infants for 2025, and an EvUp of studies on term infants was completed  
20 for 2025. In 2019, when both topics were reviewed previously, the task force concluded that  
21 an  $FiO_2$  of 0.21 was preferable for commencing resuscitation in term infants, or 0.21 to 0.3  
22 for preterm infants  $< 35$  weeks' gestation. An individual patient network meta-analysis for  
23 preterm infants  $< 32$  weeks' gestation (NetMotion) cast doubt on the previous review's  
24 findings, suggesting that a concentration of 0.9 to 1.0 resulted in the best survival.<sup>82</sup> An  
25 updated NLS Task Force SysRev, which evaluated all available RCTs in a study-level  
26 analysis and considered the results of NetMotion by adolopment, concluded that all the

1 evidence relating to mortality was of very low certainty, that benefit or harm could not be  
2 excluded for any other critical or important outcome, and that current and future large  
3 multicenter trials were needed to define the optimal oxygen concentration for commencing  
4 resuscitation. Meanwhile the use of an  $\text{FIO}_2 \geq 0.30$  is suggested for preterm infants, and 0.21  
5 remains the recommendation for term infants, although the task force plans to update both  
6 reviews as further evidence becomes available. The task force has withdrawn the  
7 recommendation against  $\text{FIO}_2$  1.0 for term infants, after concluding that contemporary  
8 Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation would result in an  
9 insufficient certainty of evidence for that recommendation.

## 10 **Video Laryngoscopes**

11 This 2025 SysRev found evidence that video laryngoscopes improve the intubation  
12 success rate for both first attempts and overall, although most studies included mostly  
13 inexperienced clinicians, and the benefits may be fewer in those who are already experienced  
14 in intubation. The NLS Task Force suggests video laryngoscopes be used for initial intubation  
15 attempts where resources allow, especially where less-experienced clinicians may be  
16 intubating, although traditional laryngoscopes are more widely available and must be  
17 available as a backup device.

## 18 **EDUCATION, IMPLEMENTATION, AND TEAMS**

### 19 **Debriefing of Clinical Resuscitation Performance**

20 Debriefing strategies are used widely to improve CPR team performance and optimize  
21 delivery of care. However, there are few data showing the effect on patient outcomes or  
22 whether there are negative aspects to debriefing (eg, cost, emotional impact on professionals).  
23 The topic was last reviewed in 2020, but this included a mixture of resuscitation and trauma  
24 studies.<sup>83,84</sup> The EIT Task Force undertook a new SysRev on debriefing that included only  
25 resuscitation studies in adults, children, and neonates and that sought clinical and patient  
26 outcomes. Ten observational studies (6 in adults,<sup>85-90</sup> 3 in neonates,<sup>91-93</sup> and 1 in children<sup>94</sup>)

1 were identified, and these included a wide range of interventions: postresuscitation  
2 debriefing<sup>85</sup>; audiovisual feedback plus weekly postevent debriefings<sup>86</sup>; short individual oral  
3 debriefing<sup>87</sup>; hot or cold debriefings<sup>88</sup>; weekly debriefing sessions with audiovisual feedback  
4 during cardiac arrests<sup>89</sup>; an after-training workshop with debriefing<sup>91</sup>; video-assisted,  
5 performance-focused debriefings<sup>93</sup>; positive-pressure ventilation refresher and performance  
6 debriefing<sup>92</sup>; and postresuscitation interdisciplinary team debriefings.<sup>94</sup> Some studies showed  
7 no effect following postresuscitation debriefing while others showed an association with  
8 improvements in several outcomes, such as favorable neurological outcome, survival to  
9 discharge, ROSC, chest compression depth, chest compression rate, chest compression  
10 fraction, and adherence to guidelines. Given the lack of RCTs comparing debriefing with no  
11 debriefing after CPR, the task force noted a serious risk of bias in these studies. There are  
12 also no data on the cost-effectiveness of postevent debriefing or on the effect of postevent  
13 debriefings in low-resource settings. Despite these limitations, the findings underpinned a  
14 new treatment recommendation: We suggest performing postevent debriefing after adult,  
15 pediatric, and neonatal cardiac arrest in all settings (weak recommendation, very low–  
16 certainty evidence).

### 17 **Prehospital Critical Care for OHCA**

18 In many countries, prehospital critical care teams are being implemented as part of a  
19 tiered EMS response.<sup>95-97</sup> The teams comprise specialists in the care of critically ill patients  
20 requiring resuscitation,<sup>98</sup> and they have competencies in ALS beyond that of standard EMS  
21 teams.<sup>99</sup> The EIT Task Force undertook a SysRev to determine the impact of prehospital  
22 critical care teams on clinical outcomes among adults and children after OHCA. Fifteen  
23 observational studies were identified.<sup>95-109</sup> Pooled results from these studies showed an  
24 association between prehospital critical care teams and higher rates of ROSC, survival to  
25 discharge, survival to 30 days, and favorable neurological outcome at 30 days. The EIT Task  
26 Force recommended that prehospital critical care teams attend adults with nontraumatic

1 OHCA within EMS systems with sufficient resource infrastructure (weak recommendation,  
2 low-certainty evidence) and suggested that prehospital critical care teams attend children with  
3 OHCA within EMS systems with sufficient resource infrastructure (weak recommendation,  
4 very low–certainty evidence). Implementing prehospital critical care services will incur  
5 additional resources, training, and EMS infrastructure costs, which may not be feasible in  
6 some health care systems. The optimal composition of prehospital critical care teams has yet  
7 to be determined.

### 8 **CPR Coaching During Adult and Pediatric Cardiac Arrest**

9       It is well recognized that adherence to guidelines is poor during CPR. A resuscitation  
10 team member whose primary responsibility is to provide real-time coaching on resuscitation  
11 quality, known as a *CPR Coach*, may improve compliance with CPR guidelines. To  
12 investigate this, the EIT Task Force undertook a SysRev focusing on coaching in which the  
13 coach is an active resuscitation team member. Of the 7 studies identified, one investigated use  
14 of CPR Coaches in a clinical setting,<sup>110</sup> and 6 were simulation studies—although 5 of these  
15 were based on the same RCT.<sup>111-116</sup> In general, the use of a CPR Coach was associated with  
16 improved CPR performance, and the EIT Task Force recommended considering the inclusion  
17 of a CPR Coach as a member of the resuscitation team during cardiac arrest resuscitation in  
18 settings with adequate staffing (weak recommendation, very low–certainty evidence). The  
19 effect of CPR Coaches in the setting of real cardiac arrests and their effect on patient survival  
20 remains unknown.

### 21 **CPR Feedback Device Use in Resuscitation Training**

22       Use of CPR feedback devices during resuscitation skills training may improve CPR  
23 skill acquisition and retention, but the results of studies are inconsistent. The use of CPR  
24 feedback devices during resuscitation courses is increasing and, although this topic was  
25 reviewed in the 2020 CoSTR,<sup>83,84</sup> the EIT Task Force considered it important to undertake an  
26 updated SysRev and included only RCTs. Twenty relevant studies were identified, 3

1 involving lay providers<sup>117-119</sup> and 17 in health care professionals.<sup>120-136</sup> Use of CPR feedback  
2 devices improved compliance with current guidelines among health care professionals and  
3 laypersons with respect to compression depth and compression rate. Use of CPR feedback  
4 devices also improved chest recoil among health care professionals but not in laypersons. No  
5 undesirable effects were detected in the review, feedback devices are well accepted, and their  
6 cost is relatively low. Based on these data, the EIT Task Force recommended the use of CPR  
7 feedback devices during resuscitation training for health care professionals and lay providers  
8 (strong recommendation, moderate-certainty evidence). The impact on patient outcomes of  
9 improved CPR skills from training with feedback devices remains a major knowledge gap.

### 10 **In Situ (at the Workplace) Simulation-Based CPR Training**

11 Training using simulation is traditionally undertaken in the classroom setting, but  
12 moving such training to clinical areas may improve fidelity and provide a better test of  
13 organizational processes. The EIT Task Force completed a SysRev comparing in situ  
14 simulation CPR training with traditional training. Nine studies were identified: 4 studies in  
15 adults,<sup>137-140</sup> 3 in children,<sup>141-143</sup> and 2 in neonates.<sup>144,145</sup> One prospective observational study  
16 with historical controls documented an association between the in-situ simulation period and  
17 higher odds of survival to hospital discharge among children with cardiac arrest (odds ratio,  
18 2.06; 95% CI, 1.02–4.25).<sup>142</sup> One observational study reported a lower incidence of neonatal  
19 asphyxia after a period of in-situ simulation training compared with a preintervention period  
20 of traditional training.<sup>145</sup> Three before-and-after observational studies<sup>138,141,142</sup> documented  
21 improvements in elements of clinical resuscitation performance following a period of in-situ  
22 CPR training, eg, reduced time to starting chest compressions, and reduced delay to  
23 defibrillation. Four RCTs<sup>143,139,144,140</sup> and 1 observational study<sup>137</sup> documented improvements  
24 in resuscitation performance with in-situ simulation training compared with traditional  
25 training. Based on these improvements across several outcomes, the EIT Task Force  
26 recommended that in-situ simulation may be considered as an option for CPR training where

1 resources are readily available (weak recommendation, very low–certainty evidence). The  
2 resources required for implementation of in-situ simulation training and its feasibility in low-  
3 and middle-income countries are knowledge gaps.

#### 4 **FIRST AID**

##### 5 **Foreign-Body Airway Obstruction**

6 This topic was last reviewed for the 2020 CoSTR.<sup>146,147</sup> An EvUp identified 17 new  
7 studies since the last review. In a retrospective study of 709 patients, abdominal thrusts as a  
8 first intervention was associated with lower odds of relief of the obstruction (odds ratio, 0.57;  
9 95% CI, 0.39–0.62) and lower odds of survival to hospital discharge (odds ratio, 0.2; 95% CI,  
10 0.07–0.59) compared with back blows as a first intervention.<sup>148</sup> Multiple publications were  
11 identified that reported on the safety and possible efficacy of several different airway  
12 clearance devices.<sup>149-153</sup> A registry study of 407 patients reported that bystanders attempted to  
13 clear the airway obstruction in 54% of cases and were successful in 48% of these attempts.  
14 Survival was significantly higher in patients for whom a bystander had attempted to clear the  
15 obstruction.<sup>154</sup> The task force continues to suggest back slaps as the first strategy for foreign-  
16 body airway obstruction removal, followed by abdominal thrusts if back slaps are  
17 unsuccessful.

##### 18 **Unintentional Injury From Laypersons Providing Chest Compressions to Patients Who** 19 **Are Not in Cardiac Arrest**

20 Lay rescuers may be hesitant to begin CPR because of concern for injuring a person,  
21 especially if they are uncertain about whether the person is truly in cardiac arrest. This topic  
22 was last reviewed for the 2020 CoSTR.<sup>146,147,155</sup> One new study was added to the 4 identified  
23 previously,<sup>156-160</sup> and these 5 studies included a total of 1031 patients who received CPR but  
24 were not in cardiac arrest. Of these people, 7 (0.7%) sustained a physical injury attributed to  
25 CPR, and an additional 24 (2%) had symptoms such as chest pain or discomfort. Based on  
26 this low injury rate and the lifesaving potential of CPR, the task force made a strong



1 recommendation that lay rescuers start CPR in cases of presumed cardiac arrest without  
2 concerns for causing injury.

### 3 **External Uterine Massage for Prevention of Postpartum Hemorrhage**

4 Postpartum hemorrhage is a major cause of global morbidity and mortality,  
5 particularly in lower-resource settings where most birth attendants have limited professional  
6 health education and may be considered lay or first aid providers.<sup>161</sup> Many international  
7 guidelines and other knowledge syntheses recommend external uterine massage for the  
8 prevention and management of postpartum hemorrhage.<sup>162-169</sup> This simple and safe  
9 intervention may reduce morbidity and mortality, and the First Aid Task Force reviewed  
10 evidence for its provision by lay or first aid providers specifically, without advanced training.  
11 A single RCT<sup>170</sup> was identified, including 127 women who had recently given birth in Kenya  
12 and were advised to perform self-massage cued by an alarm every 15 minutes for the first  
13 120 minutes after birth. The study reported better compliance with the intervention but a  
14 nonsignificant difference in blood loss and blood transfusion. Given the safety of this  
15 maneuver, the task force suggests manual uterine massage, including self-massage, to prevent  
16 postpartum hemorrhage in the immediate postpartum period.

### 17 **Preservation of Amputated Body Parts**

18 The First Aid Task Force recognizes that the top priority when approaching a patient  
19 with an amputated or avulsed body part is stopping the bleeding and resuscitating the patient.  
20 Retrieval and preservation of the amputated body part should not be overlooked, however, so  
21 that replantation can be attempted. This ScopRev identified evidence on methods of  
22 preserving avulsed or amputated body parts to maximize the chance of successful  
23 replantation. Most of the evidence came from case reports and case series, with some  
24 observational and experimental studies also identified.<sup>171</sup> More distal amputated parts (eg,  
25 digits) without skeletal muscle tolerate periods of ischemia without cold preservation up to 12  
26 hours; cold preservation extends the tolerable ischemic time before successful replantation to

1 24 hours or more. Observational studies of major upper extremity amputations also support  
 2 cold preservation, which may extend tolerable ischemia time from approximately 6 to 12  
 3 hours. The task force good practice statements suggest retrieving the body part and  
 4 transporting it to the hospital as soon as possible and cooling it if feasible. This can be  
 5 accomplished by wrapping the part in a moist clean cloth or gauze and sealing it in a  
 6 watertight bag or container before cooling, avoiding freezing. A SysRev is planned.

## 7 REFERENCES

- 8 1. Pepe PE, Scheppke KA, Antevy PM, Crowe RP, Millstone D, Coyle C, Prusansky C, Garay S,  
 9 Ellis R, Fowler RL, et al. Confirming the Clinical Safety and Feasibility of a Bundled  
 10 Methodology to Improve Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Involving a Head-Up/Torso-Up  
 11 Chest Compression Technique. *Crit Care Med*. 2019;47:449-455. doi:  
 12 10.1097/CCM.0000000000003608
- 13 2. Moore JC, Pepe PE, Scheppke KA, Lick C, Duval S, Holley J, Salverda B, Jacobs M, Nystrom  
 14 P, Quinn R, et al. Head and thorax elevation during cardiopulmonary resuscitation using  
 15 circulatory adjuncts is associated with improved survival. *Resuscitation*. 2022;179:9-17. doi:  
 16 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2022.07.039
- 17 3. Bachista KM, Moore JC, Labarere J, Crowe RP, Emanuelson LD, Lick CJ, Debaty GP, Holley  
 18 JE, Quinn RP, Scheppke KA, et al. Survival for Nonshockable Cardiac Arrests Treated With  
 19 Noninvasive Circulatory Adjuncts and Head/Thorax Elevation. *Crit Care Med*. 2024;52:170-  
 20 181. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000006055
- 21 4. Greif R, Bray JE, Djarv T, Drennan IR, Liley HG, Ng KC, Cheng A, Douma MJ, Scholefield  
 22 BR, Smyth M, et al. 2024 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and  
 23 Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations: Summary  
 24 From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support; Pediatric Life Support; Neonatal Life  
 25 Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; and First Aid Task Forces. *Circulation*.  
 26 2024;150:e580-e687. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001288
- 27 5. Greif R, Bray JE, Djarv T, Drennan IR, Liley HG, Ng KC, Cheng A, Douma MJ, Scholefield  
 28 BR, Smyth M, et al. 2024 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and  
 29 Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations: Summary  
 30 From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support; Pediatric Life Support; Neonatal Life  
 31 Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; and First Aid Task Forces. *Resuscitation*.  
 32 2024;205:110414. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2024.110414
- 33 6. Malta Hansen CJG, A.; Dicker, B.; Dassanayake, V.; Vaillancourt, C.; Dainty, K.; Olasveengen,  
 34 T.; Bray, J.; on behalf of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life  
 35 Support Task Force;; Optimization of Dispatcher-Assisted Recognition of Out-of-Hospital  
 36 Cardiac Arrest: a BLS Task Force Synthesis of a Scoping Review. IConsensus on Science  
 37 with Treatment Recommendations [Internet] Brussels, Belgium: International Liaison  
 38 Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Basic Life Support Task Force, 2024 January 8.  
 39 Available from: [https://costr.ilcor.org/document/optimization-of-dispatcher-assisted-da-  
 40 recognition-of-ohca-a-scoping-review-bls-2102-scr](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/optimization-of-dispatcher-assisted-da-recognition-of-ohca-a-scoping-review-bls-2102-scr). 2024. Accessed March 13 2025.
- 41 7. Dainty KN, Debaty G, Waddick J, Vaillancourt C, Malta Hansen C, Olasveengen T, Bray J,  
 42 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support Task F. Interventions  
 43 to optimize dispatcher-assisted CPR instructions: A scoping review. *Resusc Plus*.  
 44 2024;19:100715. doi: 10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100715
- 45 8. Dainty KN DG, Vaillancourt C, Smyth M, Olasveengen T, Bray J on behalf of the  
 46 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support Task Force. .  
 47 Interventions used with Dispatcher-assisted CPR: A scoping review. [Internet] Brussels,  
 48 Belgium: International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Basic Life Support  
 49 Task Force, 2024 Jan 8. . [https://costr.ilcor.org/document/optimization-of-dispatcher-  
 50 assisted-cpr-instructions-a-scoping-review-bls-2113-scr](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/optimization-of-dispatcher-assisted-cpr-instructions-a-scoping-review-bls-2113-scr). Accessed March 1 2025.

- 1 9. Smith CMS, L.; Whiting, J.; Smyth, M.; Olasveengen, T.; Bray, J.; on behalf of the  
2 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support Task Force.,;  
3 Dispatcher instructions for public-access AED retrieval and/or use. A scoping review.  
4 Consensus on Science with Treatment Recommendations [Internet] Brussels, Belgium:  
5 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Basic Life Support Task Force,  
6 2024 January 8. [https://costr.ilcor.org/document/optimization-of-dispatcher-assisted-  
7 public-access-aed-retrieval-and-use-a-scoping-review-bls-2120](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/optimization-of-dispatcher-assisted-public-access-aed-retrieval-and-use-a-scoping-review-bls-2120). 2024. Accessed March 12  
8 2025.
- 9 10. Jakobsen LK, Kjærulf V, Bray J, Olasveengen TM, Folke F. Drones delivering automated  
10 external defibrillators for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A scoping review. *Resuscitation*  
11 *Plus*. 2025;21:100841. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100841>
- 12 11. Kollander LF, F.; Bray, J.; on behalf of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation  
13 Basic Life Support Task Force. Drone AEDs Task Force Synthesis of a Scoping Scoping  
14 Review. <https://costr.ilcor.org/document/drone-aeds-bls-tf-scr>. 2023. Accessed 1/15/2023.
- 15 12. Berg KM, Bray JE, Ng KC, Liley HG, Greif R, Carlson JN, Morley PT, Drennan IR, Smyth M,  
16 Scholefield BR, et al. 2023 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and  
17 Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations: Summary  
18 From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support; Pediatric Life Support; Neonatal Life  
19 Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; and First Aid Task Forces. *Circulation*.  
20 2023;148:e187-e280. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001179
- 21 13. Berg KM, Bray JE, Ng KC, Liley HG, Greif R, Carlson JN, Morley PT, Drennan IR, Smyth M,  
22 Scholefield BR, et al. 2023 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and  
23 Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations: Summary  
24 From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support; Pediatric Life Support; Neonatal Life  
25 Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; and First Aid Task Forces. *Resuscitation*.  
26 2024;195:109992. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2023.109992
- 27 14. Schierbeck S, Nord A, Svensson L, Ringh M, Nordberg P, Hollenberg J, Lundgren P, Folke  
28 F, Jonsson M, Forsberg S, et al. Drone delivery of automated external defibrillators  
29 compared with ambulance arrival in real-life suspected out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a  
30 prospective observational study in Sweden. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2023;5:e862-e871. doi:  
31 10.1016/S25589-7500(23)00161-9
- 32 15. Schierbeck S, Hollenberg J, Nord A, Svensson L, Nordberg P, Ringh M, Forsberg S,  
33 Lundgren P, Axelsson C, Claesson A. Automated external defibrillators delivered by  
34 drones to patients with suspected out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43:1478-  
35 1487. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab498
- 36 16. Oonyu L, Perkins GD, Smith CM, Vaillancourt C, Olasveengen TM, Bray JE, Force IBT. The  
37 impact of locked cabinets for automated external defibrillators (AEDs) on cardiac arrest  
38 and AED outcomes: A scoping review. *Resusc Plus*. 2024;20:100791. doi:  
39 10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100791
- 40 17. Bray J, Oonyu L, Perkins GD, Smith CM, Vaillancourt C, Olasveengen T; on behalf of the  
41 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation BLS Life Support Task Force.  
42 Accessibility of AEDs in locked cabinets: Consensus on Science With Treatment  
43 Recommendations. [https://costr.ilcor.org/document/aed-accessibility-benefits-and-  
44 harms-if-locked-aed-cabinets-scoping-review-bls-2123-tf-scr](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/aed-accessibility-benefits-and-harms-if-locked-aed-cabinets-scoping-review-bls-2123-tf-scr). October 7, 2024. Updated  
45 November 3, 2024. Accessed March 3, 2025.
- 46 18. Nørskov AS, Considine J, Nehme Z, Olasveengen TM, Morrison LJ, Morley P, Bray JE.  
47 Removal of bra for pad placement and defibrillation – a scoping review. *Resuscitation*  
48 *Plus*. 2025;22:100885. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2025.100885>
- 49 19. Panchal AR, Bartos JA, Cabanas JG, Donnino MW, Drennan IR, Hirsch KG, Kudenchuk PJ,  
50 Kurz MC, Lavonas EJ, Morley PT, et al. Part 3: Adult Basic and Advanced Life Support: 2020  
51 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and  
52 Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2020;142:S366-S468. doi:  
53 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000916
- 54 20. Grunau B, Humphries K, Stenstrom R, Pennington S, Scheuermeyer F, van Diepen S, Awad  
55 E, Al Assil R, Kawano T, Brooks S, et al. Public access defibrillators: Gender-based  
56 inequities in access and application. *Resuscitation*. 2020;150:17-22. doi:  
57 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.02.024
- 58 21. Perman SM, Shelton SK, Knoepke C, Rappaport K, Matlock DD, Adelgais K, Havranek EP,  
59 Daugherty SL. Public Perceptions on Why Women Receive Less Bystander

- 1 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Than Men in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Circulation*.  
 2 2019;139:1060-1068. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.037692
- 3 22. Di Maio R, O'Hare P, Crawford P, McIntyre A, McCanny P, Torney H, Adgey J. Self-  
 4 adhesive electrodes do not cause burning, arcing or reduced shock efficacy when placed  
 5 on metal items. *Resuscitation*. 2015;96:11. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.09.026
- 6 23. Kramer CE, Wilkins MS, Davies JM, Caird JK, Hallihan GM. Does the sex of a simulated  
 7 patient affect CPR? *Resuscitation*. 2015;86:82-87. doi:  
 8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.10.016>
- 9 24. Debaty G, Perkins GD, Dainty KN, Norii T, Olasveengen TM, Bray JE, International Liaison  
 10 Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support Task F. Effectiveness of ultraportable  
 11 automated external defibrillators: A scoping review. *Resusc Plus*. 2024;19:100739. doi:  
 12 10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100739
- 13 25. Debaty GD, K.; Norii, T.; Perkins, G.D.; Olasveengen, T.; Bray, J.; on behalf of the  
 14 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support Task Force.  
 15 Effectiveness of ultra-portable or pocket automated external defibrillator Consensus on  
 16 Science with Treatment Recommendations [Internet] Brussels, Belgium: International  
 17 Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Basic Life Support Task Force, 2024 January 8.  
 18 <https://costr.ilcor.org/document/effectiveness-of-ultra-portable-or-pocket-automated-external-defibrillators-a-scoping-review-bls-2603-scr>. 2024.
- 19 26. Considine J, Couper K, Greif R, Ong GY, Smyth MA, Ng KC, Kidd T, Olasveengen TM, Bray  
 20 J, International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support ALSPLS, et al.  
 21 Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in obese patients: A scoping review. *Resusc Plus*.  
 22 2024;20:100820. doi: 10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100820
- 23 27. Considine JC, K.; Greif, R.; Ong, G.Y.K.; Smyth, M.A.; Ng, K.C.; Kidd, T.; Olasveengen, T.M.;  
 24 Bray, J.; ,on behalf of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Basic  
 25 Life Support (BLS), Advanced Life Support (ALS), the Paediatric Life Support, and the  
 26 Education, Implementation and Team (EIT) Task Forces. Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in  
 27 Obese Patients Task Force Synthesis of a Scoping Review.  
 28 <https://costr.ilcor.org/document/bls-2720-cardiopulmonary-resuscitation-in-obese-patients-bls-tf-scr>. 2024.
- 29 28. Callaway CW, Soar J, Aibiki M, Bottiger BW, Brooks SC, Deakin CD, Donnino MW, Drajer S,  
 30 Kloeck W, Morley PT, et al. Part 4: Advanced Life Support: 2015 International Consensus on  
 31 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With  
 32 Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2015;132:S84-145. doi:  
 33 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000273
- 34 29. Soar J, Callaway CW, Aibiki M, Bottiger BW, Brooks SC, Deakin CD, Donnino MW, Drajer S,  
 35 Kloeck W, Morley PT, et al. Part 4: Advanced life support: 2015 International Consensus on  
 36 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with  
 37 Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2015;95:e71-120. doi:  
 38 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.042
- 39 30. Koster RW, Beenen LF, van der Boom EB, Spijkerboer AM, Tepaske R, van der Wal AC,  
 40 Beesems SG, Tijssen JG. Safety of mechanical chest compression devices AutoPulse and  
 41 LUCAS in cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial for non-inferiority. *Eur Heart J*.  
 42 2017;38:3006-3013. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx318
- 43 31. Gao C, Chen Y, Peng H, Chen Y, Zhuang Y, Zhou S. Clinical evaluation of the AutoPulse  
 44 automated chest compression device for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the northern  
 45 district of Shanghai, China. *Arch Med Sci*. 2016;12:563-570. doi: 10.5114/aoms.2016.59930
- 46 32. Couper K, Quinn T, Booth K, Lall R, Devrell A, Orriss B, Regan S, Yeung J, Perkins GD.  
 47 Mechanical versus manual chest compressions in the treatment of in-hospital cardiac  
 48 arrest patients in a non-shockable rhythm: A multi-centre feasibility randomised  
 49 controlled trial (COMPRESS-RCT). *Resuscitation*. 2021;158:228-235. doi:  
 50 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.09.033
- 51 33. Anantharaman V, Ng BL, Ang SH, Lee CY, Leong SH, Ong ME, Chua SJ, Rabind AC, Anjali  
 52 NB, Hao Y. Prompt use of mechanical cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital  
 53 cardiac arrest: the MECCA study report. *Singapore Med J*. 2017;58:424-431. doi:  
 54 10.11622/smedj.2017071
- 55 34. Baloglu Kaya F, Acar N, Ozakin E, Canakci ME, Kuas C, Bilgin M. Comparison of manual and  
 56 mechanical chest compression techniques using cerebral oximetry in witnessed cardiac  
 57 arrests at the emergency department: A prospective, randomized clinical study. *Am J*  
 58 *Emerg Med*. 2021;41:163-169. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.06.031

- 1 35. Pocock H, Nicholson T, Szarpak L, Soar J, Berg KM; on behalf of the International Liaison  
2 Committee on Resuscitation Advanced Life Support Task Force. Mechanical CPR devices.  
3 <https://costr.ilcor.org/document/mechanical-cpr-devices-als-3002-tf-sr>. November 9,  
4 2024. Updated November 13, 2024.
- 5 36. Cheskes S, Verbeek PR, Drennan IR, McLeod SL, Turner L, Pinto R, Feldman M, Davis M,  
6 Vaillancourt C, Morrison LJ, et al. Defibrillation Strategies for Refractory Ventricular  
7 Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;387:1947-1956. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2207304
- 8 37. Ohshimo S, Drennan I, Deakin CD, Soar J, Berg KM; on behalf of the International Liaison  
9 Committee on Resuscitation Advanced Life Support Task Force. Double sequence  
10 defibrillation: Consensus on Science With Treatment Recommendations.  
11 [https://costr.ilcor.org/document/double-sequential-defibrillation-strategy-for-cardiac-](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/double-sequential-defibrillation-strategy-for-cardiac-arrest-with-refractory-shockable-rhythm-als-tf-sr)  
12 [arrest-with-refractory-shockable-rhythm-als-tf-sr](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/double-sequential-defibrillation-strategy-for-cardiac-arrest-with-refractory-shockable-rhythm-als-tf-sr). March 5, 2023. Updated May 4, 2023.  
13 Accessed March 3, 2025.
- 14 38. Couper K, Ji C, Deakin CD, Fothergill RT, Nolan JP, Long JB, Mason JM, Michelet F, Norman  
15 C, Nwankwo H, et al. A Randomized Trial of Drug Route in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest.  
16 *N Engl J Med*. 2025;392:336-348. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2407780
- 17 39. Ko YC, Lin HY, Huang EP, Lee AF, Hsieh MJ, Yang CW, Lee BC, Wang YC, Yang WS, Chien  
18 YC, et al. Intraosseous versus intravenous vascular access in upper extremity among adults  
19 with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: cluster randomised clinical trial (VICTOR trial). *BMJ*.  
20 2024;386:e079878. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2024-079878
- 21 40. Vallentin MF, Granfeldt A, Klitgaard TL, Mikkelsen S, Folke F, Christensen HC, Povlsen AL,  
22 Petersen AH, Winther S, Frilund LW, et al. Intraosseous or Intravenous Vascular Access for  
23 Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 2025;392:349-360. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2407616
- 24 41. Couper K, Andersen LW, Drennan IR, Grunau BE, Kudenchuk PJ, Lall R, Lavonas EJ, Perkins  
25 GD, Vallentin MF, Granfeldt A, et al. Intraosseous and intravenous vascular access during  
26 adult cardiac arrest: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*. 2024;110481. doi:  
27 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2024.110481
- 28 42. Jessen MK, Andersen LW, Djakow J, Chong NK, Stankovic N, Staehr C, Vammen L, Petersen  
29 AH, Johannsen CM, Eggertsen MA, et al. Pharmacological interventions for the acute  
30 treatment of hyperkalaemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*.  
31 2025;110489. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2025.110489
- 32 43. Granfeldt A, Holmberg M, Andersen LW, Ng KC, Jana Djakow; on behalf of the Advanced  
33 Life Support and Pediatric Life Support Task Forces. Pharmacological interventions for  
34 the acute treatment of hyperkalemia: a systematic review.  
35 [https://costr.ilcor.org/document/pharmacological-interventions-for-the-acute-](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/pharmacological-interventions-for-the-acute-treatment-of-hyperkalemia-als-3403-tf-sr)  
36 [treatment-of-hyperkalemia-als-3403-tf-sr](https://costr.ilcor.org/document/pharmacological-interventions-for-the-acute-treatment-of-hyperkalemia-als-3403-tf-sr). November 5, 2024. Accessed March 3, 2025.
- 37 44. Banning AS, Sabate M, Orban M, Gracey J, Lopez-Sobrinho T, Massberg S, Kastrati A,  
38 Bogaerts K, Adriaenssens T, Berry C, et al. Venoarterial extracorporeal membrane  
39 oxygenation or standard care in patients with cardiogenic shock complicating acute  
40 myocardial infarction: the multicentre, randomised EURO SHOCK trial. *EuroIntervention*.  
41 2023;19:482-492. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-23-00204
- 42 45. Bochaton T, Huot L, Elbaz M, Delmas C, Aissaoui N, Farhat F, Mewton N, Bonnefoy E,  
43 investigators I-S. Mechanical circulatory support with the Impella(R) LP5.0 pump and an  
44 intra-aortic balloon pump for cardiogenic shock in acute myocardial infarction: The  
45 IMPELLA-STIC randomized study. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. 2020;113:237-243. doi:  
46 10.1016/j.acvd.2019.10.005
- 47 46. Brunner S, Guenther SPW, Lackermair K, Peterss S, Orban M, Boulesteix AL, Michel S,  
48 Hausleiter J, Massberg S, Hagl C. Extracorporeal Life Support in Cardiogenic Shock  
49 Complicating Acute Myocardial Infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:2355-2357. doi:  
50 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.02.044
- 51 47. Burkoff D, Cohen H, Brunckhorst C, O'Neill WW, TandemHeart Investigators G. A  
52 randomized multicenter clinical study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the  
53 TandemHeart percutaneous ventricular assist device versus conventional therapy with  
54 intraaortic balloon pumping for treatment of cardiogenic shock. *Am Heart J*. 2006;152:469  
55 e461-468. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2006.05.031
- 56 48. Firdaus I, Yuniadi Y, Andriantoro H, Elfira Boom C, Harimurti K, Romdoni R, al. e. Early  
57 insertion of intra-aortic balloon pump after cardiac arrest on acute coronary syndrome  
58 patients: A randomized clinical trial. *Cardiol Cardiovasc Med*. 2019;03,



- 1 49. Moller JE, Engstrom T, Jensen LO, Eiskjaer H, Mangner N, Polzin A, Schulze PC, Skurk C,  
2 Nordbeck P, Clemmensen P, et al. Microaxial Flow Pump or Standard Care in Infarct-  
3 Related Cardiogenic Shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2024;390:1382-1393. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2312572
- 4 50. Ohman EM, Nanas J, Stomel RJ, Leesar MA, Nielsen DW, O'Dea D, Rogers FJ, Harber D,  
5 Hudson MP, Fraulo E, et al. Thrombolysis and counterpulsation to improve survival in  
6 myocardial infarction complicated by hypotension and suspected cardiogenic shock or  
7 heart failure: results of the TACTICS Trial. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* 2005;19:33-39. doi:  
8 10.1007/s11239-005-0938-0
- 9 51. Ostadal P, Rokyta R, Karasek J, Kruger A, Vondrakova D, Janotka M, Naar J, Smalcova J,  
10 Hubatova M, Hromadka M, et al. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in the Therapy of  
11 Cardiogenic Shock: Results of the ECMO-CS Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation.*  
12 2023;147:454-464. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.062949
- 13 52. Ouweneel DM, Eriksen E, Sjauw KD, van Dongen IM, Hirsch A, Packer EJ, Vis MM,  
14 Wykrzykowska JJ, Koch KT, Baan J, et al. Percutaneous Mechanical Circulatory Support  
15 Versus Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump in Cardiogenic Shock After Acute Myocardial  
16 Infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017;69:278-287. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.10.022
- 17 53. Seyfarth M, Sibbing D, Bauer I, Frohlich G, Bott-Flugel L, Byrne R, Dirschinger J, Kastrati A,  
18 Schomig A. A randomized clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a  
19 percutaneous left ventricular assist device versus intra-aortic balloon pumping for  
20 treatment of cardiogenic shock caused by myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*  
21 2008;52:1584-1588. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.05.065
- 22 54. Thiele H, Sick P, Boudriot E, Diederich KW, Hambrecht R, Niebauer J, Schuler G.  
23 Randomized comparison of intra-aortic balloon support with a percutaneous left  
24 ventricular assist device in patients with revascularized acute myocardial infarction  
25 complicated by cardiogenic shock. *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26:1276-1283. doi:  
26 10.1093/eurheartj/ehi61
- 27 55. Thiele H, Zeymer U, Akin I, Behnes M, Rassaf T, Mahabadi AA, Lehmann R, Eitel I, Graf T,  
28 Seidler T, et al. Extracorporeal Life Support in Infarct-Related Cardiogenic Shock. *N Engl J*  
29 *Med.* 2023;389:1286-1297. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2307227
- 30 56. Thiele H, Zeymer U, Neumann FJ, Ferenc M, Olbrich HG, Hausleiter J, Richardt G,  
31 Hennesdorf M, Empen K, Fuernau G, et al. Intraaortic balloon support for myocardial  
32 infarction with cardiogenic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:1287-1296. doi:  
33 10.1056/NEJMoa1208410
- 34 57. Prondzinsky R, Lemm H, Swyter M, Wegener N, Unverzagt S, Carter JM, Russ M, Schlitt A,  
35 Buerke U, Christoph A, et al. Intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation in patients with acute  
36 myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: the prospective, randomized  
37 IABP SHOCK Trial for attenuation of multiorgan dysfunction syndrome. *Crit Care Med.*  
38 2010;38:152-160. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181b78671
- 39 58. Thiele H, Moller JE, Henriques JPS, Bogerd M, Seyfarth M, Burkhoff D, Ostadal P, Rokyta R,  
40 Belohlavek J, Massberg S, et al. Temporary mechanical circulatory support in infarct-  
41 related cardiogenic shock: an individual patient data meta-analysis of randomised trials  
42 with 6-month follow-up. *Lancet.* 2024;404:1019-1028. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)01448-X
- 43 59. Soar J, Maconochie I, Wyckoff MH, Olasveengen TM, Singletary EM, Greif R, Aickin R,  
44 Bhanji F, Donnino MW, Mancini ME, et al. 2019 International Consensus on  
45 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With  
46 Treatment Recommendations: Summary From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life  
47 Support; Pediatric Life Support; Neonatal Life Support; Education, Implementation, and  
48 Teams; and First Aid Task Forces. *Circulation.* 2019;140:e826-e880. doi:  
49 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000734
- 50 60. Soar J, Maconochie I, Wyckoff MH, Olasveengen TM, Singletary EM, Greif R, Aickin R,  
51 Bhanji F, Donnino MW, Mancini ME, et al. 2019 International Consensus on  
52 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With  
53 Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation.* 2019;145:95-150. doi:  
54 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.10.016
- 55 61. Bray JE, Deasy C, Walsh J, Bacon A, Currell A, Smith K. Changing EMS dispatcher CPR  
56 instructions to 400 compressions before mouth-to-mouth improved bystander CPR rates.  
57 *Resuscitation.* 2011;82:1393-1398. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.06.018
- 58 62. Pasupula DK, Bhat A, Siddappa Malleshappa SK, Munir MB, Barakat A, Jain S, Wang NC, Saba  
59 S, Bhonsale A. Impact of Change in 2010 American Heart Association Cardiopulmonary  
60 Resuscitation Guidelines on Survival After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in the United

- 1 States. *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology*. 2020;13:e007843. doi:  
 2 10.1161/CIRCEP.119.007843
- 3 63. Garza AG, Gratton MC, Salomone JA, Lindholm D, McElroy J, Archer R. Improved patient  
 4 survival using a modified resuscitation protocol for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.  
 5 *Circulation*. 2009;119:2597-2605. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.108.815621
- 6 64. Mallikethi-Reddy S, Briasoulis A, Akintoye E, Jagadeesh K, Brook RD, Rubenfire M, Afonso  
 7 L, Grines CL. Incidence and Survival After In-Hospital Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in  
 8 Nonelderly Adults: US Experience, 2007 to 2012. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2017;10. doi:  
 9 10.1161/circoutcomes.116.003194
- 10 65. Wang CH, Huang CH, Chang WT, Tsai MS, Yu PH, Wu YW, Chen WJ. Outcomes of adults  
 11 with in-hospital cardiac arrest after implementation of the 2010 resuscitation guidelines.  
 12 *Int J Cardiol*. 2017;249:214-219. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.09.008
- 13 66. Goto Y, Funada A, Maeda T, Goto Y. Temporal trends in neurologically intact survival  
 14 after paediatric bystander-witnessed out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A nationwide  
 15 population-based observational study. *Resusc Plus*. 2021;6:100104. doi:  
 16 10.1016/j.resplu.2021.100104
- 17 67. Berg RA, Nadkarni VM, Clark AE, Moler F, Meert K, Harrison RE, Newth CJ, Sutton RM,  
 18 Wessel DL, Berger JT, et al. Incidence and Outcomes of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in  
 19 PICUs. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44:798-808. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000001484
- 20 68. Berg RA, Morgan RW, Reeder RW, Ahmed T, Bell MJ, Bishop R, Bochkoris M, Burns C,  
 21 Carcillo JA, Carpenter TC, et al. Diastolic Blood Pressure Threshold During Pediatric  
 22 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Survival Outcomes: A Multicenter Validation Study.  
 23 *Crit Care Med*. 2023;51:91-102. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000005715
- 24 69. Berg RA, Sutton RM, Reeder RW, Berger JT, Newth CJ, Carcillo JA, McQuillen PS, Meert  
 25 KL, Yates AR, Harrison RE, et al. Association Between Diastolic Blood Pressure During  
 26 Pediatric In-Hospital Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Survival. *Circulation*.  
 27 2018;137:1784-1795. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.032270
- 28 70. Kienzle MF, Morgan RW, Alvey JS, Reeder R, Berg RA, Nadkarni V, Topjian AA, Lasa JJ,  
 29 Raymond TT, Sutton RM, et al. Clinician-reported physiologic monitoring of  
 30 cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality during pediatric in-hospital cardiac arrest: A  
 31 propensity-weighted cohort study. *Resuscitation*. 2023;188:109807. doi:  
 32 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2023.109807
- 33 71. Wolfe HA, Sutton RM, Reeder RW, Meert KL, Pollack MM, Yates AR, Berger JT, Newth CJ,  
 34 Carcillo JA, McQuillen PS, et al. Functional outcomes among survivors of pediatric in-  
 35 hospital cardiac arrest are associated with baseline neurologic and functional status, but  
 36 not with diastolic blood pressure during CPR. *Resuscitation*. 2019;143:57-65. doi:  
 37 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.08.006
- 38 72. Yates AR, Sutton RM, Reeder RW, Meert KL, Berger JT, Fernandez R, Wessel D, Newth CJ,  
 39 Carcillo JA, McQuillen PS, et al. Survival and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation  
 40 Hemodynamics Following Cardiac Arrest in Children With Surgical Compared to Medical  
 41 Heart Disease. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2019;20:1126-1136. doi: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000002088
- 42 73. Phillips B, Zideman D, Garcia-Castrillo L, Felix M, Schwarz-Schwierin V. European  
 43 Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2000 for Advanced Paediatric Life Support: A statement  
 44 from Paediatric Life Support Working Group and approved by the Executive Committee  
 45 of the European Resuscitation Council. *Resuscitation*. 2001;48:231-234. doi:  
 46 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9572\(00\)00381-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9572(00)00381-6)
- 47 74. Tibballs J, Russell P. Reliability of pulse palpation by healthcare personnel to diagnose  
 48 paediatric cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:61-64. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2008.10.002
- 49 75. Tibballs J, Weeraratna C. The influence of time on the accuracy of healthcare personnel to  
 50 diagnose paediatric cardiac arrest by pulse palpation. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:671-675. doi:  
 51 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.01.030
- 52 76. Tsung JW, Blavivas M. Feasibility of correlating the pulse check with focused point-of-care  
 53 echocardiography during pediatric cardiac arrest: a case series. *Resuscitation*. 2008;77:264-  
 54 269. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2007.12.015
- 55 77. Wyckoff MH, Singletary EM, Soar J, Olasveengen TM, Greif R, Liley HG, Zideman D, Bhanji  
 56 F, Andersen LW, Avis SR, et al. 2021 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary  
 57 Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment  
 58 Recommendations: Summary From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support;  
 59 Neonatal Life Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; First Aid Task Forces; and

- 1 the COVID-19 Working Group. *Resuscitation*. 2021;169:229-311. doi:  
 2 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.10.040
- 3 78. Wyckoff MH, Singletary EM, Soar J, Olasveengen TM, Greif R, Liley HG, Zideman D, Bhanji  
 4 F, Andersen LW, Avis SR, et al. 2021 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary  
 5 Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment  
 6 Recommendations: Summary From the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support;  
 7 Neonatal Life Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; First Aid Task Forces; and  
 8 the COVID-19 Working Group. *Circulation*. 2022;145:e645-e721. doi:  
 9 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001017
- 10 79. Gomersall J, Berber S, Middleton P, McDonald SJ, Niermeyer S, El-Naggar W, Davis PG,  
 11 Schmölzer GM, Ovelman C, Soll RF. Umbilical Cord Management at Term and Late Preterm  
 12 Birth: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2021;147. doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-015404
- 13 80. Seidler AL, Libesman S, Hunter KE, Barba A, Aberoumand M, Williams JG, Shrestha N,  
 14 Aagerup J, Sotiropoulos JX, Montgomery AA, et al. Short, medium, and long deferral of  
 15 umbilical cord clamping compared with umbilical cord milking and immediate clamping  
 16 at preterm birth: a systematic review and network meta-analysis with individual  
 17 participant data. *Lancet*. 2023;402:2223-2234. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(23)02469-8
- 18 81. Seidler AL, Aberoumand M, Hunter KE, Barba A, Libesman S, Williams JG, Shrestha N,  
 19 Aagerup J, Sotiropoulos JX, Montgomery AA, et al. Deferred cord clamping, cord milking,  
 20 and immediate cord clamping at preterm birth: a systematic review and individual  
 21 participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2023;402:2209-2222. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(23)02468-6
- 22 82. Sotiropoulos JX, Oei JL, Schmölzer GM, Libesman S, Hunter KE, Williams JG, Webster AC,  
 23 Vento M, Kapadia V, Rabi Y, et al. Initial Oxygen Concentration for the Resuscitation of  
 24 Infants Born at Less Than 32 Weeks' Gestation: A Systematic Review and Individual  
 25 Participant Data Network Meta-Analysis. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2024. doi:  
 26 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.1848
- 27 83. Greif R, Bhanji F, Bigham BL, Bray J, Breckwolfdt J, Cheng A, Duff JP, Gilfoyle E, Hsieh MJ,  
 28 Iwami T, et al. Education, Implementation, and Teams: 2020 International Consensus on  
 29 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With  
 30 Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2020;142:S222-s283. doi:  
 31 10.1161/cir.0000000000000896
- 32 84. Greif R, Bhanji F, Bigham BL, Bray J, Breckwolfdt J, Cheng A, Duff JP, Gilfoyle E, Hsieh MJ,  
 33 Iwami T, et al. Education, Implementation, and Teams: 2020 International Consensus on  
 34 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With  
 35 Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2020;156:A188-A239. doi:  
 36 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.09.014
- 37 85. Bleijenberg E, Koster RW, de Vries H, Beesems SG. The impact of post-resuscitation  
 38 feedback for paramedics on the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*.  
 39 2017;110:1-5. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.08.034
- 40 86. Couper K, Kimani PK, Abella BS, Chilwan M, Cooke MW, Davies RP, Field RA, Gao F,  
 41 Quinton S, Stallard N, et al. The System-Wide Effect of Real-Time Audiovisual Feedback  
 42 and Postevent Debriefing for In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: The Cardiopulmonary  
 43 Resuscitation Quality Improvement Initiative. *Crit Care Med*. 2015;43:2321-2331. doi:  
 44 10.1097/CCM.0000000000001202
- 45 87. Couper K, Kimani PK, Davies RP, Baker A, Davies M, Husselbee N, Melody T, Griffiths F,  
 46 Perkins GD. An evaluation of three methods of in-hospital cardiac arrest educational  
 47 debriefing: The cardiopulmonary resuscitation debriefing study. *Resuscitation*.  
 48 2016;105:130-137. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.05.005
- 49 88. Couper K, Mason AJ, Gould D, Nolan JP, Soar J, Yeung J, Harrison D, Perkins GD. The  
 50 impact of resuscitation system factors on in-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes across UK  
 51 hospitals: An observational study. *Resuscitation*. 2020;151:166-172. doi:  
 52 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.04.006
- 53 89. Edelson DP, Litzinger B, Arora V, Walsh D, Kim S, Lauderdale DS, Vanden Hoek TL, Becker  
 54 LB, Abella BS. Improving in-hospital cardiac arrest process and outcomes with  
 55 performance debriefing. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2008;168:1063-1069. doi:  
 56 10.1001/archinte.168.10.1063
- 57 90. Malik AO, Nallamotheu BK, Trumpower B, Kennedy M, Krein SL, Chinnakondepalli KM,  
 58 Hejjaji V, Chan PS. Association Between Hospital Debriefing Practices With Adherence to  
 59 Resuscitation Process Measures and Outcomes for In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Circ  
 60 Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13:e006695. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.120.006695



- 1 91. Heydarzadeh MM, A.; Azizi, S.; Hamed, A.; Alavi, SS. Impact of video-recorded debriefing  
2 and neonatal resuscitation program workshops on short-term outcomes and quality of  
3 neonatal resuscitation. *Iranian Journal of Neonatology*. 2020;2020 Jun: 11(2).
- 4 92. Skare C, Boldingh AM, Kramer-Johansen J, Calisch TE, Nakstad B, Nadkarni V, Olasveengen  
5 TM, Niles DE. Video performance-debriefings and ventilation-refreshers improve quality  
6 of neonatal resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2018;132:140-146. doi:  
7 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.07.013
- 8 93. Skare C, Calisch TE, Saeter E, Rajka T, Boldingh AM, Nakstad B, Niles DE, Kramer-Johansen  
9 J, Olasveengen TM. Implementation and effectiveness of a video-based debriefing  
10 programme for neonatal resuscitation. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2018;62:394-403. doi:  
11 10.1111/aas.13050
- 12 94. Wolfe H, Zebuhr C, Topjian AA, Nishisaki A, Niles DE, Meaney PA, Boyle L, Giordano RT,  
13 Davis D, Priestley M, et al. Interdisciplinary ICU cardiac arrest debriefing improves  
14 survival outcomes\*. *Crit Care Med*. 2014;42:1688-1695. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000000327
- 15 95. Dickinson ET, Schneider RM, Verdile VP. The impact of prehospital physicians on out-of-  
16 hospital nonasystolic cardiac arrest. *Prehospital Emergency Care*. 1997;1:132-135. doi:  
17 10.1080/10903129708958805
- 18 96. Goto Y, Funada A, Goto Y. Impact of prehospital physician-led cardiopulmonary  
19 resuscitation on neurologically intact survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A  
20 nationwide population-based observational study. *Resuscitation*. 2019;136:38-46. doi:  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.11.014>
- 22 97. Goto Y, Maeda T, Nakatsu-Goto Y. Neurological outcomes in patients transported to  
23 hospital without a prehospital return of spontaneous circulation after cardiac arrest.  
24 *Critical Care*. 2013;17:R274. doi: 10.1186/cc13121
- 25 98. Hatakeyama T, Kiguchi T, Sera T, Nachi S, Ochiai K, Kitamura T, Ogura S, Otomo Y, Iwami  
26 T. Physician's presence in pre-hospital setting improves one-month favorable neurological  
27 survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A propensity score matching analysis of the  
28 JAAM-OHCA Registry. *Resuscitation*. 2021;167:38-46. doi:  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.08.010>
- 30 99. Nakajima S, Matsuyama T, Watanabe M, Komukai S, Kandori K, Okada A, Okada Y,  
31 Kitamura T, Ohta B. Prehospital Physician Presence for Patients With out-of-Hospital  
32 Cardiac Arrest Undergoing Extracorporeal Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation: A Multicenter,  
33 Retrospective, Nationwide Observational Study in Japan (The JAAM–OHCA registry).  
34 *Current Problems in Cardiology*. 2023;48:101600. doi:  
35 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2023.101600>
- 36 100. Barnard EBG, Sandbach DD, Nicholls TL, Wilson AW, Ercole A. Prehospital determinants of  
37 successful resuscitation after traumatic and non-traumatic out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.  
38 *Emergency Medicine Journal*. 2019;36:333. doi: 10.1136/emmermed-2018-208165
- 39 101. Bjornsson HM, Bjornsdottir GG, Olafsdottir H, Mogensen BA, Mogensen B, Thorgeirsson G.  
40 Effect of replacing ambulance physicians with paramedics on outcome of resuscitation for  
41 prehospital cardiac arrest. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2021;28.
- 42 102. Bujak K, Nadolny K, Trzeciak P, Gałzowski R, Ładny J, Gąsior M. Does the presence of  
43 physician-staffed emergency medical services improve the prognosis in out-of-hospital  
44 cardiac arrest? A propensity score matching analysis. *Polish Heart Journal (Kardiologia  
45 Polska)*. 2022;80:685-692. doi: {}
- 46 103. Obara T, Yumoto T, Nojima T, Hongo T, Tsukahara K, Matsumoto N, Yorifuji T, Nakao A,  
47 Elmer J, Naito H. Association of Prehospital Physician Presence During Pediatric Out-of-  
48 Hospital Cardiac Arrest With Neurologic Outcomes. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*.  
49 2023;24.
- 50 104. Olasveengen TM, Lund-Kordahl I, Steen PA, Sunde K. Out-of hospital advanced life  
51 support with or without a physician: Effects on quality of CPR and outcome. *Resuscitation*.  
52 2009;80:1248-1252. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.07.018>
- 53 105. Pemberton K, Franklin RC, Bosley E, Watt K. Pre-hospital predictors of long-term survival  
54 from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Australasian Emergency Care*. 2023;26:184-192. doi:  
55 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.auec.2022.10.006>
- 56 106. Sato N, Matsuyama T, Akazawa K, Nakazawa K, Hirose Y. Benefits of adding a physician-  
57 staffed ambulance to bystander-witnessed out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a community-  
58 based, observational study in Niigata, Japan. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e032967. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-  
59 2019-032967

- 1 107. von Vopelius-Feldt J, Coulter A, Bengler J. The impact of a pre-hospital critical care team  
2 on survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2015;96:290-295. doi:  
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.08.020>
- 4 108. von Vopelius-Feldt J, Morris RW, Bengler J. The effect of prehospital critical care on  
5 survival following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A prospective observational study.  
6 *Resuscitation*. 2020;146:178-187. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.08.008>
- 7 109. Yasunaga H, Horiguchi H, Tanabe S, Akahane M, Ogawa T, Koike S, Imamura T.  
8 Collaborative effects of bystander-initiated cardiopulmonary resuscitation and prehospital  
9 advanced cardiac life support by physicians on survival of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a  
10 nationwide population-based observational study. *Critical Care*. 2010;14:R199. doi:  
11 10.1186/cc9319
- 12 110. Infinger AE, Vandeventer S, Studnek JR. Introduction of performance coaching during  
13 cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves compression depth and time to defibrillation in  
14 out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2014;85:1752-1758. doi:  
15 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.09.016
- 16 111. Badke CM, Friedman ML, Harris ZL, McCarthy-Kowols M, Tran S. Impact of an untrained  
17 CPR Coach in simulated pediatric cardiopulmonary arrest: A pilot study. *Resuscitation*  
18 *plus*. 2020;4:100035-100035. doi: 10.1016/j.resplu.2020.100035
- 19 112. Buyck M, Shayan Y, Gravel J, Hunt EA, Cheng A, Levy A. CPR coaching during cardiac  
20 arrest improves adherence to PALS guidelines: a prospective, simulation-based trial.  
21 *Resuscitation Plus*. 2021;5:100058-100058. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2020.100058>
- 22 113. Jones KA, Jani KH, Jones GW, Nye ML, Duff JP, Cheng A, Lin Y, Davidson J, Chatfield J,  
23 Tofil N, et al. Using natural language processing to compare task-specific verbal cues in  
24 coached versus noncoached cardiac arrest teams during simulated pediatrics resuscitation.  
25 *AEM education and training*. 2021;5:e10707-e10707. doi: 10.1002/aet2.10707
- 26 114. Kessler DO, Grabinski Z, Shepard LN, Jones SI, Lin Y, Duff J, Tofil NM, Cheng A. Influence  
27 of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Coaching on Interruptions in Chest Compressions  
28 During Simulated Pediatric Cardiac Arrest. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*. 2021;22:345-353.  
29 doi: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000002623
- 30 115. Tofil NM, Cheng A, Lin Y, Davidson J, Hunt EA, Chatfield J, MacKinnon L, Kessler D. Effect  
31 of a Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Coach on Workload During Pediatric  
32 Cardiopulmonary Arrest: A Multicenter, Simulation-Based Study. *Pediatric critical care*  
33 *medicine : a journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of*  
34 *Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies*. 2020;21:e274-e281. doi:  
35 10.1097/PCC.0000000000002275
- 36 116. Cheng A, Duff JP, Kessler D, Tofil NM, Davidson J, Lin Y, Chatfield J, Brown LL, Hunt EA.  
37 Optimizing CPR performance with CPR coaching for pediatric cardiac arrest: A  
38 randomized simulation-based clinical trial. *Resuscitation*. 2018;132:33-40. doi:  
39 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.08.021
- 40 117. Cortegiani A, Russotto V, Montalto F, Iozzo P, Meschis R, Pugliesi M, Mariano D, Benenati  
41 V, Raineri SM, Gregoretti C, et al. Use of a Real-Time Training Software (Laerdal QCPR(R))  
42 Compared to Instructor-Based Feedback for High-Quality Chest Compressions Acquisition  
43 in Secondary School Students: A Randomized Trial. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0169591. doi:  
44 10.1371/journal.pone.0169591
- 45 118. Kong SYJ, Song KJ, Shin SD, Ro YS, Myklebust H, Birkenes TS, Kim TH, Park KJ. Effect of  
46 real-time feedback during cardiopulmonary resuscitation training on quality of  
47 performances: A prospective cluster-randomized trial. *Hong Kong Journal of Emergency*  
48 *Medicine*. 2020;27:187-196. doi: 10.1177/1024907918825016
- 49 119. Meng XY, You J, Dai LL, Yin XD, Xu JA, Wang JF. Efficacy of a Simplified Feedback Trainer  
50 for High-Quality Chest Compression Training: A Randomized Controlled Simulation  
51 Study. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:675487. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.675487
- 52 120. Allan KS, Wong N, Aves T, Dorian P. The benefits of a simplified method for CPR training  
53 of medical professionals: a randomized controlled study. *Resuscitation*. 2013;84:1119-1124. doi:  
54 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2013.03.005
- 55 121. Ghaderi MS, Malekzadeh J, Mazloum S, Pourghaznein T. Comparison of real-time feedback  
56 and debriefing by video recording on basic life support skill in nursing students. *BMC*  
57 *Med Educ*. 2023;23:62. doi: 10.1186/s12909-022-03951-1
- 58 122. Gonzalez-Santano D, Fernandez-Garcia D, Silvestre-Medina E, Remuinan-Rodriguez B,  
59 Rosell-Ortiz F, Gomez-Salgado J, Sobrido-Prieto M, Ordas-Campos B, Martinez-Isasi S.  
60 Evaluation of Three Methods for CPR Training to Lifeguards: A Randomised Trial Using

- 1 Traditional Procedures and New Technologies. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2020;56. doi:  
 2 10.3390/medicina56110577
- 3 123. Jang TC, Ryoo HW, Moon S, Ahn JY, Lee DE, Lee WK, Kwak SG, Kim JH. Long-term benefits  
 4 of chest compression-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation training using real-time visual  
 5 feedback manikins: a randomized simulation study. *Clin Exp Emerg Med*. 2020;7:206-212. doi:  
 6 10.15441/ceem.20.022
- 7 124. Jiang J, Yan J, Yao D, Xiao J, Chen R, Zhao Y, Jin X. Comparison of the effects of using  
 8 feedback devices for training or simulated cardiopulmonary arrest. *J Cardiothorac Surg*.  
 9 2024;19:159. doi: 10.1186/s13019-024-02669-z
- 10 125. Kardong-Edgren SE, Oermann MH, Odom-Maryon T, Ha Y. Comparison of two  
 11 instructional modalities for nursing student CPR skill acquisition. *Resuscitation*.  
 12 2010;81:1019-1024. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.04.022
- 13 126. Katipoglu B, Madziala MA, Evrin T, Gawlowski P, Szarpak A, Dabrowska A, Bialka S, Ladny  
 14 JR, Szarpak L, Konert A, et al. How should we teach cardiopulmonary resuscitation?  
 15 Randomized multi-center study. *Cardiol J*. 2021;28:439-445. doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2019.0092
- 16 127. Labuschagne MJ, Arbee A, de Klerk C, de Vries E, de Waal T, Jhetam T, Piest B, Prins J, Uys  
 17 S, van Wyk R, et al. A comparison of the effectiveness of QCPR and conventional CPR  
 18 training in final-year medical students at a South African university. *Afr J Emerg Med*.  
 19 2022;12:106-111. doi: 10.1016/j.afjem.2022.02.001
- 20 128. Lee PH, Lai HY, Hsieh TC, Wu WR. Using real-time device-based visual feedback in CPR  
 21 recertification programs: A prospective randomised controlled study. *Nurse Educ Today*.  
 22 2023;124:105755. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2023.105755
- 23 129. Lin Y, Cheng A, Grant VJ, Currie GR, Hecker KG. Improving CPR quality with distributed  
 24 practice and real-time feedback in pediatric healthcare providers - A randomized  
 25 controlled trial. *Resuscitation*. 2018;130:6-12. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.06.025
- 26 130. Min MK, Yeom SR, Ryu JH, Kim YI, Park MR, Han SK, Lee SH, Park SW, Park SC.  
 27 Comparison between an instructor-led course and training using a voice advisory manikin  
 28 in initial cardiopulmonary resuscitation skill acquisition. *Clin Exp Emerg Med*. 2016;3:158-  
 29 164. doi: 10.15441/ceem.15.114
- 30 131. Pavo N, Goliasch G, Nierscher FJ, Stumpf D, Haugk M, Breckwoldt J, Ruetzler K, Greif R,  
 31 Fischer H. Short structured feedback training is equivalent to a mechanical feedback  
 32 device in two-rescuer BLS: a randomised simulation study. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg  
 33 Med*. 2016;24:70. doi: 10.1186/s13049-016-0265-9
- 34 132. Spooner BB, Fallaha JF, Kocierz L, Smith CM, Smith SC, Perkins GD. An evaluation of  
 35 objective feedback in basic life support (BLS) training. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:417-424. doi:  
 36 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2006.10.017
- 37 133. Suet G, Blanie A, de Montblanc J, Roulleau P, Benhamou D. External Cardiac Massage  
 38 Training of Medical Students: A Randomized Comparison of Two Feedback Methods to  
 39 Standard Training. *J Emerg Med*. 2020;59:270-277. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2020.04.058
- 40 134. Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, Aplenc R, French B, Abella BS, Lenggetti EL, Berg RA,  
 41 Helfaer MA, Nadkarni V. "Booster" training: evaluation of instructor-led bedside  
 42 cardiopulmonary resuscitation skill training and automated corrective feedback to  
 43 improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation compliance of Pediatric Basic Life Support  
 44 providers during simulated cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2011;12:e116-121. doi:  
 45 10.1097/PCC.0b013e3181e91271
- 46 135. Wagner M, Bibl K, Hrdliczka E, Steinbauer P, Stiller M, Gropel P, Goeral K, Salzer-Muhar U,  
 47 Berger A, Schmolzer GM, et al. Effects of Feedback on Chest Compression Quality: A  
 48 Randomized Simulation Study. *Pediatrics*. 2019;143. doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-2441
- 49 136. Zhou XL, Wang J, Jin XQ, Zhao Y, Liu RL, Jiang C. Quality retention of chest compression  
 50 after repetitive practices with or without feedback devices: A randomized manikin study.  
 51 *Am J Emerg Med*. 2020;38:73-78. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2019.04.025
- 52 137. Clarke SO, Julie IM, Yao AP, Bang H, Barton JD, Alsomali SM, Kiefer MV, Al Khulaif AH,  
 53 Aljahany M, Venugopal S, et al. Longitudinal exploration of in situ mock code events and  
 54 the performance of cardiac arrest skills. *BMJ Simul Technol Enhanc Learn*. 2019;5:29-33. doi:  
 55 10.1136/bmjstel-2017-000255
- 56 138. Herbers MD, Heaser JA. Implementing an In Situ Mock Code Quality Improvement  
 57 Program. *Am J Crit Care*. 2016;25:393-399. doi: 10.4037/ajcc2016583
- 58 139. Mei Q, Zhang T, Chai J, Liu A, Liu Y, Zhu H. Application of In Situ Scenario Simulation in  
 59 Advanced Cardiac Life Support Training for Eight-year Medicinal Students. *Xiehe Yixue  
 60 Zazhi*. 2023;14:660-664. doi: <https://doi.org/10.12290/xhyxzz.2022-0676>

- 1 140. Sullivan NJ, Duval-Arnould J, Twilley M, Smith SP, Aksamit D, Boone-Guercio P, Jeffries  
2 PR, Hunt EA. Simulation exercise to improve retention of cardiopulmonary resuscitation  
3 priorities for in-hospital cardiac arrests: A randomized controlled trial. *Resuscitation*.  
4 2015;86:6-13. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.10.021>
- 5 141. Hammontree J, Kinderknecht CG. An In Situ Mock Code Program in the Pediatric  
6 Intensive Care Unit: A Multimodal Nurse-Led Quality Improvement Initiative. *Crit Care*  
7 *Nurse*. 2022;42:42-55. doi: 10.4037/ccn2022631
- 8 142. Knight LJ, Gabhart JM, Earnest KS, Leong KM, Anglemeyer A, Franzon D. Improving Code  
9 Team Performance and Survival Outcomes: Implementation of Pediatric Resuscitation  
10 Team Training\*. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2014;42:243-251. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182a6439d
- 11 143. Kurosawa H, Ikeyama T, Achuff P, Perkel M, Watson C, Monachino A, Remy D, Deutsch E,  
12 Buchanan N, Anderson J, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of In Situ Pediatric  
13 Advanced Life Support Recertification (“Pediatric Advanced Life Support Reconstructed”)  
14 Compared With Standard Pediatric Advanced Life Support Recertification for ICU  
15 Frontline Providers\*. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2014;42:610-618. doi:  
16 10.1097/ccm.0000000000000024
- 17 144. Rubio-Gurung S, Putet G, Touzet S, Gauthier-Moulinier H, Jordan I, Beissel A, Labaune J-M,  
18 Blanc S, Amamra N, Balandras C, et al. In Situ Simulation Training for Neonatal  
19 Resuscitation: An RCT. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134:e790-e797. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-3988
- 20 145. Xu C, Zhang Q, Xue Y, Chow C-B, Dong C, Xie Q, Cheung P-Y. Improved neonatal  
21 outcomes by multidisciplinary simulation—a contemporary practice in the demonstration  
22 area of China. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*. 2023;11. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1138633
- 23 146. Olasveengen TM, Mancini ME, Perkins GD, Avis S, Brooks S, Castren M, Chung SP,  
24 Considine J, Couper K, Escalante R, et al. Adult Basic Life Support: 2020 International  
25 Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science  
26 With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2020;142:S41-S91. doi:  
27 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000892
- 28 147. Olasveengen TM, Mancini ME, Perkins GD, Avis S, Brooks S, Castren M, Chung SP,  
29 Considine J, Couper K, Escalante R, et al. Adult Basic Life Support: International Consensus  
30 on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With  
31 Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2020;156:A35-A79. doi:  
32 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.09.010
- 33 148. Dunne CL, Cirone J, Blanchard IE, Holroyd-Leduc J, Wilson TA, Sauro K, McRae AD.  
34 Evaluation of basic life support interventions for foreign body airway obstructions: A  
35 population-based cohort study. *Resuscitation*. 2024;201:110258. doi:  
36 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2024.110258
- 37 149. Bhandari BG, Palmer Hill S. Evaluation of DeChoker, an Airway Clearance Device (ACD)  
38 Used in Adult Choking Emergencies Within the Adult Care Home Sector: A Mixed  
39 Methods Case Study. *Front Public Health*. 2020;8:541885. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.541885
- 40 150. Costable NJ, Costable JM, Rabin G. The use of LifeVac, a novel airway clearance device, in  
41 the assistance of choking victims aged five and under: Results of a retrospective 10-year  
42 observational study. *Journal of Pediatric Critical Care*. 2024;11:93-98. doi:  
43 10.4103/jpcc.jpcc\_3\_24
- 44 151. Dunne CL, Viguers K, Osman S, Queiroga AC, Szpilman D, Peden AE. A 2-year prospective  
45 evaluation of airway clearance devices in foreign body airway obstructions. *Resusc Plus*.  
46 2023;16:100496. doi: 10.1016/j.resplu.2023.100496
- 47 152. McKinley MJ, Deede J, Markowitz B. Use of a Novel Portable Non-powered Suction Device  
48 in Patients With Oropharyngeal Dysphagia During a Choking Emergency. *Front Med*  
49 *(Lausanne)*. 2021;8:742734. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.742734
- 50 153. Gal LL PP, Paterman D. Resuscitation of choking victims in a pediatric population using a  
51 novel portable non-powered suction device: Real world data. *Pediatr Ther*. . .  
52 <https://vitalvac.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/estudo-2.pdf>. 2020.
- 53 154. Norii T, Igarashi Y, Yoshino Y, Nakao S, Yang M, Albright D, Sklar DP, Crandall C. The  
54 effects of bystander interventions for foreign body airway obstruction on survival and  
55 neurological outcomes: Findings of the MOCHI registry. *Resuscitation*. 2024;199:110198. doi:  
56 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2024.110198
- 57 155. Svavarsdottir H OT, Mancini MB, Avis S, Brooks S, Castren M, Chung S, Considine J,  
58 Kudenchuk P, Perkins G, Ristagno G, Semeraro F, Smith C, Smyth M, Morley PT, -on behalf  
59 of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Basic Life Support Task Force.  
60 Harm from CPR to Victims Not in Cardiac Arrest Consensus on Science with Treatment



- 1 Recommendations [Internet] Brussels, Belgium: International Liaison Committee on  
2 Resuscitation (ILCOR) Basic Life Support Task Force, 2019 Dec 28th. Available from:  
3 <http://ilcor.org>. 2020.
- 4 156. White L, Rogers J, Bloomingdale M, Fahrenbruch C, Culley L, Subido C, Eisenberg M, Rea T.  
5 Dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation: risks for patients not in cardiac arrest.  
6 *Circulation*. 2010;121:91-97. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.872366>
- 7 157. Tanaka Y, Nishi T, Takase K, Yoshita Y, Wato Y, Taniguchi J, Hamada Y, Inaba H. Survey of  
8 a protocol to increase appropriate implementation of dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary  
9 resuscitation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2014;129:1751-1760. doi:  
10 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004409>
- 11 158. Ng JYX, Sim ZJ, Siddiqui FJ, Shahidah N, Leong BS, Tiah L, Ng YY, Blewer A, Arulanandam  
12 S, Lim SL, et al. Incidence, characteristics and complications of dispatcher-assisted  
13 cardiopulmonary resuscitation initiated in patients not in cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*.  
14 2022;170:266-273. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.09.022
- 15 159. Moriwaki Y, Sugiyama M, Tahara Y, Iwashita M, Kosuge T, Harunari N, Arata S, Suzuki N.  
16 Complications of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation for unconscious patients  
17 without cardiopulmonary arrest. *J Emerg Trauma Shock*. 2012;5:3-6. doi: 10.4103/0974-  
18 2700.93094
- 19 160. Haley KB, Lerner EB, Pirralo RG, Croft H, Johnson A, Uihlein M. The frequency and  
20 consequences of cardiopulmonary resuscitation performed by bystanders on patients who  
21 are not in cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2011;15:282-287. doi: 10.3109/10903127.2010.541981
- 22 161. Bazirete O NM, Uwimana MC, Umubyeyi A, Marilyn E. Factors affecting the prevention of  
23 postpartum hemorrhage in Low-and Middle-Income Countries: A scoping review of the  
24 literature. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*. 2020;11(1):66.
- 25 162. Saccone G, Caissutti C, Ciardulli A, Abdel-Aleem H, Hofmeyr GJ, Berghella V. Uterine  
26 massage as part of active management of the third stage of labour for preventing  
27 postpartum haemorrhage during vaginal delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis  
28 of randomised trials. *BJOG*. 2018;125:778-781. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.14923
- 29 163. Escobar MF, Nassar AH, Theron G, Barnea ER, Nicholson W, Ramasauskaite D, Lloyd I,  
30 Chandrharan E, Miller S, Burke T, et al. FIGO recommendations on the management of  
31 postpartum hemorrhage 2022. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2022;157 Suppl 1:3-50. doi:  
32 10.1002/ijgo.14116
- 33 164. Giouleka S, Tsakiridis I, Kalogiannidis I, Mamopoulos A, Tentas I, Athanasiadis A, Dagklis  
34 T. Postpartum Hemorrhage: A Comprehensive Review of Guidelines. *Obstet Gynecol Surv*.  
35 2022;77:665-682. doi: 10.1097/OGX.0000000000001061
- 36 165. Hofmeyr GJ, Abdel-Aleem H, Abdel-Aleem MA. Uterine massage for preventing  
37 postpartum haemorrhage. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;2013:CD006431. doi:  
38 10.1002/14651858.CD006431.pub3
- 39 166. Likis FE, Sathe NA, Morgans AK, Hartmann KE, Young JL, Carlson-Bremer D, Schorn M,  
40 Surawicz T, Andrews J. In: *Management of Postpartum Hemorrhage*. Rockville (MD); 2015.
- 41 167. Prata N, Bell S, Weidert K. Prevention of postpartum hemorrhage in low-resource settings:  
42 current perspectives. *Int J Womens Health*. 2013;5:737-752. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S51661
- 43 168. Tuncalp O, Souza JP, Gulmezoglu M, World Health O. New WHO recommendations on  
44 prevention and treatment of postpartum hemorrhage. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2013;123:254-  
45 256. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.06.024
- 46 169. Weeks A. The prevention and treatment of postpartum haemorrhage: what do we know,  
47 and where do we go to next? *BJOG*. 2015;122:202-210. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.13098
- 48 170. Ngichabe SK, Gatinu BW, Nyangore MA, Karuga R, Wanyonyi SZ, Kiarie JN. Reminder  
49 Systems for Self Uterine Massage in the Prevention of Postpartum Blood Loss. *East Afr*  
50 *Med J*. 2012;89:128-133.
- 51 171. Singletary EM LJ, Berry D, Cassan P, Pek JH, Thilakasiri K, Djärv T, on behalf of the  
52 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation First Aid Task Force. Preservation of  
53 traumatic complete amputated or avulsed body parts in the out-of-hospital setting Task  
54 Force Synthesis of a Scoping Review. [Internet] Brussels, Belgium: International Liaison  
55 Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) First Aid Task Force. 2024. Accessed 4 December  
56 2024.
- 57